

**IN THE COURT OF THE V ADDL. DISTRICT &  
SESSIONS JUDGE, TIPTUR**

**S.C No:10006/2023**

DULY SWORN ON  
DATE:20.05.2026

**CW-08**

**PW-06**

|               |                                                          |
|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Name          | Dr. Sridhar. M                                           |
| Father's Name | Mallikarjunaiah                                          |
| Age           | 40 years                                                 |
| Occupation    | Specialist Medical Officer, General Hospital. C.N Halli. |
| Residence     | Tumakuru.                                                |

**Examination-in-chief : By Learned Public**

**Prosecutor:**

Since, 20<sup>th</sup> July 2021 I have been working as a Specialist Medical Officer at General Hospital. Chikkanayakanahalli till date.

On 04.11.2022 I have received requisition from Circle Inspector, Chikkanayakanahalli with respect conducting medical examination of the Accused Mani@ Subramani who is involved in sexual offence and sought report with respect to the accused is capable of having sexual intercourse, whether he has sustained any injury

on his private parts and also sought for blood group of Accused.

On 04.11.2022 the Accused Mani @ Subramani was brought to our hospital at about 3.45-5.00 P.M., by P.C-Shivaraj-CW-17 and Jayaram-CW-18. The said Accused Mani @ Subramani was brought with the alleged history of sexual assault on female Lakshmakka, aged 55 years on 18.10.2022.

The Accused Mani @ Subramani has consented for the examination of case of impotency/potency and he has signed the said proforma. Tattoo of Sannamma and Anand were present over anterior aspect of right hand.

On Physical Examination of Mani @ Subramani, aged 25 years.

Blood stains, seminal stains, foreign materials, hairs, tears-not available. No injuries found on the body.

Since alleged assault: Bathed-yes, Urinated-Yes, Defaecated-Yes.

**Collection of Evidence.**

1. Blood in 2 tubes for serology and grouping and for drug screening.
2. Penanal swab- not collected.
3. Rectal Swab-not collected.
4. Oral Swab-not collected.
5. Loose public hair-not collected.
6. Hair, fibres and blood stains on body and clothing-not found
7. Urethral discharge for gonorrhea- not collected
8. Fingernail scrapings-not collected.
9. Suspected dried seminal stains on the skin or clothing-not found.

**Opinion:**

From the examination of Mani @ Subramani, aged 25 years, there is nothing to suggest that the person is incapable of performing sexual intercourse.

With respect to the same I have issued certificate of impotency/potency of male dated: 04.11.2022. The requisition received by me dated: 04.11.2022 and I have answered the queries in the said requisition, which bears

my signature, witness has identified the signature. The said requisition is marked as **Ex.P-10** and signature is marked as Ex.P-10(a). The proforma for examination of case of impotency/potency bears my signature. witness has identified the signature. The said proforma is marked as **Ex.P-11** and signature is marked as Ex.P-11(a). The proforma for sexual assault examination of male patients bears my signature. witness has identified the signature. The said proforma is marked as **Ex.P-12** and signature is marked as Ex.P-12(a). The certificate of potency/impotency of male dated: 04.11.2022 bears my signature. witness has identified the signature. The said certificate is marked as **Ex.P-13** and signature is marked as Ex.P-13(a).

The material objects of the Accused Mani @ Subramani was not collected as the said accused had regularly bath, urinated and he was produced on 04.11.2022 i.e., 16 days of alleged incident. I can identify the person whom I have examined. The witness has identified the Accused Mani @ Subramani who is present through VC.

**Cross examination by Shri.M.C.N.Advocate**  
**for accused.**

It is true to suggest that the date mentioned in Ex.P-12 with respect to date of assault has been altered and there is no signature. With respect to alteration made in the said Ex.P-12. It is false to suggest that I have given the report- Ex.P-12 to help the police. It is true to suggest that I have not mentioned in the opinion as to why the samples of collection of evidence was not collected.

It is false to suggest that the police have not produced the accused before me for medical examination as such I have not collected the samples. It is true to suggest that to examine the victim for sexual offence the consent of victim is necessary. It is true to suggest that the signature of the accused below column No.9 and above 10 is that of Accused. The said signature of Accused is marked as **Ex.D-1**. It is false to suggest that the Accused Mani@ Subramani was not present before me, as such the police have taken a form and got signed and produced before me. It is false to

suggest that the said Ex.P-11 has been created for the purpose of the case as accused was not present before me nor as consented for examination of impotency. It is false to suggest that to show that the said accused has been examined no documents has been produced before court.

It is true to suggest that during forceful intercourse, there is chances of scratching injuries on the body. It is false to suggest that as per Ex.P-10 column No.1 I have give the opinion on the basis of age of the Accused though he has not been produced before me physically for examination. It is false to suggest that though I have not examined the accused Mani @ Subramani physically I have been deposing false to help the police. It is false to suggest that for the first time I am seeing the accused before the court.

**Re-examination - Nil**

**(Typed to my dictation in open court)**

**R.O.I. A & C**

**(Hemanth Kumar C.R)**  
**V Addl. District & Sessions Judge**  
**Tiptur**