

**IN THE COURT OF SUVASH KUMAR ROY, ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-V,
MADHUBANI**

Regular Bail Petition No. 498 of 2026

Arising out of FIR (Benipatti PS Case No. 139/2026)

U/S 109(1), 111(4) & 3(5) of the BNS & 27 of Arms Act

Randhir Kumar Singh

Vs State of Bihar(Aditya Kumar Singh)

Ld. counsel for the petitioner: Prince Kumar

Adv.

Ld. counsel for the State: Mishri Lal Yadav

APP

Randhir Kumar Singh, aged about 45 years, S/o Basant Singh, R/o Vill. Sahpur, PS Benipatti, District Madhubani, who is in **Judicial custody since 02.06.2026** in connection with **Benipatti PS Case No. 139/2026 & GR No. 221/2026** registered under **Section 109(1), 111(4) & 3(5) of the BNS & 27 of Arms Act.** **Petitioner**

Versus

The State of Bihar

Opposite Party

ORDER

01.07.2026

This Regular Bail Petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioner, namely, **Randhir Kumar Singh**, S/o Basant Singh, who is in **Judicial custody since 02.06.2026** in connection with **Benipatti PS Case No. 139/2026 & GR No. 221/2026** registered under **Section 109(1), 111(4) & 3(5) of the BNS & 27 of Arms Act.**

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

That the prosecution case in brief is that on 03.04.2026 at about 10 PM the petitioner and other co-accused came by Scarpio and switch on the scorpio light protested by informant and his friend after that the petitioner abused and assaulted Subham Singh and the petitioner suited with pistol with intention to kill the informant.

The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that earlier ABP No. 822/26 has been filed on behalf of the petitioner either anticipatory or regular bail petition before this learned Court or before the any Higher Court. Petitioner has six criminal antecedents. Petitioner is not juvenile. Petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence and has falsely and wrongly been implicated in this case with ulterior motives. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Petitioner is ready abide all the conditions if any imposed at the of granting bail. Petitioner is ready to furnish bail bond of good sureties.

On the other hand, learned Additional P.P. on behalf of the State vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Having heard both the parties and perused the case diary. On perusal, it transpires that all witnesses have supported the occurrence in the case diary. Further, petitioner has gun

IN THE COURT OF SUVASH KUMAR ROY, ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-V,
MADHUBANI

Regular Bail Petition No. 498 of 2026

Arising out of FIR (Benipatti PS Case No. 139/2026)

U/S 109(1), 111(4) & 3(5) of the BNS & 27 of Arms Act

Randhir Kumar Singh

Vs State of Bihar(Aditya Kumar Singh)

Ld. counsel for the petitioner: Prince Kumar

Adv.

Ld. counsel for the State: Mishri Lal Yadav

APP

Contd. Dated 01.07.2026

fired due to conflict of watching of IPL Match resulting informant of this case has injured and admitted in Sub-Divisional Hospital Benipatti later on referred to the DMCH Darbhanga. Further, perused the injury report of the injured and found following injury on his body lacerated wound of size 2cmx1cmx1cm over right hypochondrium 4cm from midline. Lacerated wound of size 3cmx1cmx1cm over right forearm 5cm above wrist on lateral aspect. X-ray plate No. 358 Two/02 plates as per Radiology DMCH, Darbhanga (1) X-ray of Abdomen (Erect/Supine/R. Lat) no body injury & (2) X-ray of right forearm (AP/Lat) Retained bullet seen in situ in subcutaneous plane. Further, doctor has also found soft tissue injury/laceration with air pockets seen in the anterior abdominal wall in right hypochondriac region anterior aspect of 5th to 8th rib with minimal free stranding with fluid density seen likely post-traumatic (gun shot injury) which directly attracts the offences under Section 109(1) of the BNS. Vide para 40 of the case diary, there are three criminal antecedents of the petitioner and he is languishing in judicial custody since 02.06.2026.

Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, nature and gravity of the offences. Hence, the Regular Bail of the petitioner, namely, **Randhir Kumar Singh** is hereby **rejected**.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

Suvash Kumar Roy

Additional Sessions Judge-V

Madhubani

Memo No.....Dated..... Copy of order forwarded to the Court of ACJM-I, Benipatti, for information and needful.

Suvash Kumar Roy

Additional Sessions Judge-V

Madhubani