

IN THE COURT OF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.III, TIRUPPUR
PRESENT : Tmt.G.Dhanalakshmi,B.Sc.,L.L.B.,
Judicial Magistrate No.III, Tiruppur

On Friday, the 14th day of August 2026

Crl.M.P. No. 3509/2026

in

Cr. No.394/2026

Maxzone Clothing Private Limited
Rep By the Owner Gaurav Agrawal,(36/2026)

..... Petitioner/Accused

//Vs//

State rep by,
The Sub Inspector of Police,
Anupparpalayam P.S, Crime No.394/2026
U/s. 4(a)(c) TNP Act.

..... Respondent/Complainant

This petition has come up on 13.08.2026 for final hearing before me in the presence of **Thiru. R. Karthick Kumar** the Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the Learned Assistant Public Prosecutor for the Respondent and upon hearing both side and upon perusal of the records, this court delivers the following:

ORDER

The petitioner had filed this petition u/s.503 and 497 (1B) of BNSS for interim custody of the property seized by Anupparpalayam Police Station, Cr.No.394/2026, U/s. 4(a)(c) TNP Act.

2. **Gist of the Petition :-**

The petitioner has filed this petition u/s. 503 and 497 (1B) of BNSS seeking interim custody of seized property **TN 39 CR 6600 Jeep, Chassis No. MCANUREY1MRA74521*4M, Engine No. 4106740.** The Anupparpalayam Police registered the case in Cr.No.394/2026, U/s. 4(a)(c) TNP Act, against the accused and the property **TN 39 CR 6600 Jeep, Chassis No. MCANUREY1MRA74521*4M, Engine No. 4106740** vehicle was seized from the accused. Therefore, the petitioner is requesting interim custody of the vehicle for consideration of its damages.

In response, the learned APP replied that respondent police submitted that the investigation is still pending and the vehicle was involved in the case and also the respondent police submitted that this vehicle was already given to the petitioner in interim custody which was seized in Cr.No.394/2026, U/s. 4(a)(c) TNP Act, Anupparpalayam police station. If the vehicle returned to the petitioner, she may use the vehicle for the same kind of offense and so prosecution side strong objection to return the vehicle to the petitioner.

After hearing both sides and reviewing the records, it is clear that the petitioner is seeking interim custody of the property **TN 39 CR 6600 Jeep, Chassis No.**

MCANUREY1MRA74521*4M, Engine No. 4106740 which was seized by the police. On the other hand, the respondent police also having no serious objection to return the same as interim custody.

Upon receiving the same, this court considers that the seized vehicle is directly connected with this crime and it would cause serious prejudice to the investigation if the property is given to the petitioner/accused interim custody. Hence, this court declined to allow this petition.

In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Directly dictated by me to the Typist, typed by her, corrected by me and pronounced by me in the open court on the 14^h day of Aug 2026.

Judicial Magistrate No.III,
Tiruppur.