



IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, THOOTHUKUDI

Present : Tmt.S.Subadevi, B.A.,B.L.,M.B.A.,

Principal Sessions Judge, Thoothukudi

Wednesday the 24th day of June 2026

Cr.M.P.No. 3183/2026

1.Kalaiaarasi (36/2026),
W/o. Lakshmana Perumal,
23, South Street, Osanoothu,
Ottapidaram Taluk,
Thoothukudi

..Petitioners/ Accused No.1 to 5

Now at
3/88, Sivan Kovil Street,
Osanoothu,
Kulasekaranallur Post,
Ottapidaram Taluk,
Thoothukudi District.

2. Kasthuri (67/2026),
W/o. Paramasivan @ Ammasi,
3/89, Sivan Kovil Street,
Osanoothu,
Kulasekaranallur Post,
Ottapidaram Taluk,
Thoothukudi District

3. Subbulakshmi (44/2026),
W/o. Moorthy,
3/222/1 Parambu Street,
Osanoothu,
Kulasekaranallur Post,
Ottapidaram Taluk,
Thoothukudi District

4. Paramasivan @ Ammasi (68/2026),
S/o. Eswaran,
3/89, Sivan Kovil Street,
Osanoothu
Kulasekaranallur Post,



Ottapidaram Taluk,
Thoothukudi District

5. Bala Murugan,(39/2026),
S/o. Paramasivan @ Ammasi,
3/89, Sivan Kovil Street,
Osanoothu,
Kulasekaranallur Post,
Ottapidaram Taluk,
Thoothukudi District.

-Vs-

State: The Inspector of Police,
Ottapidaram P.S.,
Thoothukudi District.
Cr. No. 235/2026

...Respondent / Complainant

Petition u/s.482 BNSS is filed to grant anticipatory bail to petitioners.

This Petition has come up for final hearing before this court today, in the presence of Thiru. A. S. Lawrence, Advocate for petitioners and the learned Public Prosecutor for prosecution.

Heard both side.

ORDER

Petitioners are alleged to have committed offences on 16.06.2026 u/s.85, 296(b), 115(2), 351(2) of BNS.

The case of the prosecution is that, petitioners along with the co-accused abused the de-facto complainant with filthy language, assaulted and caused injuries and also criminally intimidated the de-facto complainant and thereby committed cruelty to the de-facto complainant.



The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that, petitioners are innocent and not at all committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and that petitioners are not involved in this case and due to family dispute false case has been registered against these petitioners and that the de-facto complainant is the wife and the 5th petitioner is the husband and the injured was discharged from the hospital and no previous case against these petitioners and that petitioners are ready and willing to furnish sufficient sureties for their release on anticipatory bail and that therefore, petitioners may be enlarged on anticipatory bail.

The learned Public Prosecutor has raised strong objection to release the petitioners on anticipatory bail by stating that, totally five accused in this case and the complainant is the house wife and the accused persons are her husband and inlaws and de-facto complainant did not live peacefully with the 1st accused and therefore, accused persons quarreled with the de-facto complainant, spoke abusive words, attacked with stone and stick, threatened and went away and further stated that the injured person is taking treatment as an outpatient and no previous case against these petitioners.

Considering the nature and gravity of the offence, this court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the 5th petitioner who is the husband of the de-facto complainant and considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that, other petitioners are being in-laws, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to 1st to 4th petitioners subject to the following conditions:

i) In the event of arrest or on surrender, 1st to 4th petitioners are ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on executing a bond for Rs.10,000/- each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Ottapidaram,

ii) 1st to 4th petitioners shall abide by the conditions stipulated u/s.482 (2) (i), (ii) and (iii) of BNSS,



iii) 1st to 4th petitioners shall appear and sign before the respondent police station daily at 10.00 A.M from the date of release for a period of 30 days,

iv) 1st to 4th petitioners shall surrender before Judicial Magistrate within 15 days from the date of this order, failing which, the anticipatory bail granted to the 1st to 4th petitioners shall stand dismissed automatically,

v) If 1st to 4th petitioners breaches any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate is entitled to take appropriate action against the 1st to 4th petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed by the said officer as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji .vs. State of Kerala (2005 AIR SCW 5560),

vi) And if 1st to 4th petitioners, thereafter abscond, a fresh F.I.R may be registered against 1st to 4th petitioners u/s.269 of BNS.

vii) as far as the 5th petitioner is concerned, this petition is hereby dismissed.

Pronounced by me in the Open Court this the 24th day of June 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Thoothukudi.

To

- The Judicial Magistrate, Ottapidaram.
- The Public Prosecutor, Principal District Court, Thoothukudi
- The Inspector of Police, Ottapidarm P.S., Thoothukudi.
- The Advocate for the petitioner.

They are requested to download the copy of the order from the official web site of this court only for purpose of furnishing sureties before the concerned court.