



IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE,THOOTHUKUDI

Present : Tmt.R.Vasanthi,M.L.,

Principal Sessions Judge, Thoothukudi

Thursday the 30th day of April 2026

Cr.M.P.No. 2264/2026

Sudalaikannan (37/26),

...Petitioner / Accused No.2

S/o. Sundara Vinayagam,

5/137, Vanikar Street,

Manakkarai,

Srivaikundam,

Thoothukudi District.

-Vs-

State : The Inspector of Police,

...Respondent / Complainant

Murappanadu P.S.,

Srivaikundam,

Thoothukudi District.

Cr. No.231/2026

Petition u/s.483 BNSS is filed to grant bail to the petitioner.

This Petition has come up for final hearing before this court today, in the presence of Thiru.A.Vins Raja Advocate for the petitioner and in the presence of the learned Public Prosecutor for prosecution and in the presence of Thiru.P.Chinniah, advocate for the intervener.

Heard both side. Upon perusal of available records before this court and on consideration of oral representations made by both side, this court delivered the following:



ORDER

The petitioner is alleged to have committed offences on 25.04.2026 u/s.126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 109(1), 351(3) of BNS and Section 25(1A) of Arms Act. The petitioner was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 25.04.2026.

The case of the prosecution is that, the petitioner wrongfully restrained the de-facto complainant, abused him with filthy language, pushed down the defacto complainant and caused injuries to him and also made criminal intimidation against him with prohibited weapon.

The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, the petitioner is an innocent and not at all committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and that the petitioner is in judicial custody from 25.04.2026 for the past 6 days and that the petitioner/A2 is suffering from brain tumor and he is admitted in Government Hospital and that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case due to previous enmity and that the petitioner is ready and willing to furnish sufficient sureties for his release on bail and that therefore, the petitioner may be enlarged on bail.

The defacto complainant / intervenor appeared through his advocate and that the learned counsel for the defacto complainant / intervenor has filed intervening petition and in the intervening petition, it has been averred that, the defacto complainant is an agriculturist and that on 25.04.2026 at 8:30 AM, the defacto complainant was traveling on a two-wheeler towards Karunkulam along with one Chinnathurai and that when they were nearing the house of the 2nd Accused, the 1st Accused intercepted the vehicle and abused the defacto complainant with filthy words and pushed him down from the vehicle, due to which the defacto complainant sustained injuries and after that the 2nd accused took an aruval from his house and abused the defacto complainant with filthy words and tried to attack him and on seeing the incident, when the general public warned the accused, the accused threatened the defacto complainant and went away



and that prior to the occurrence, a case was registered against the accused in Cr.No. 450/2025 and that further the accused are acting with an intention to murder the defacto complainant and that several cases are pending against the accused persons in different police stations and therefore, if the petitioner is enlarged on bail, he would tamper the evidence and hamper the investigation and prayed for the dismissal of the petition.

The learned Public Prosecutor would stand by the argument of the learned counsel for the defacto complainant / intervenor and that the petitioner is in judicial custody only from 25.04.2026 and has raised strong objection to release the petitioner on bail.

Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that, the petitioner is in judicial custody only for 6 days from 25.04.2026 and as such the investigation of this case is in preliminary stage, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

In the result, this petition stands dismissed.

Pronounced by me in the Open Court this the 30th day of April 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Thoothukudi.

To

- The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Srivaikundam.
- The Public Prosecutor, Principal District Court, Thoothukudi.
- The Inspector of Police, Murappanadu P.S., Thoothukudi.
- The Advocate for the petitioner.

They are requested to download the copy of the order from the official web site of this court only for purpose of furnishing sureties before the concerned court.