

4 ನೇ ಅಧಿಕ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಮತ್ತು ಸತ್ರ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯ,  
ತುಮಕೂರು-ಮಧುಗಿರಿ

S.C.No 5015/2024

PW.9

CW.7

Date 11/08/2026 witness appeared through VC and duly sworn

|                    |                                              |
|--------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| Name               | Dr. Malathi                                  |
| Husband Name       | Mahesh                                       |
| Age                | 47                                           |
| Occupation         | Asst. Director, FSL,<br>Madivala, Bengaluru. |
| Place of residence | Bengaluru.                                   |

**Examination in Chief by Public Prosecutor**

Since 2004 I am working in FSL, Bengaluru in difference capacities.

The Articles/Dcuments sent in Cr No.153/2023 of Pavagada PS U/S 307, 324 of IPC were received in the laboratory for examination on 12.09.2023 through Steevenkumar.

**The above case is allotted to me on 12.09.2023 for chemical.**

The seals found on the article/s were intact and tallied with sample seal. The description found on the article/s corresponds to that of those present in the invoice.

**Materials Examined**

| <b>Sl.No.</b> | <b>Article Smart Code</b> | <b>Description of article/s</b> | <b>I.O Marking</b> |
|---------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|
| 1             | KMU7E2DV                  | One Iron Rod                    | 1                  |
| 2             | ZPRPBUZM                  | One Shirt                       | 2                  |
| 3             | LJPKRY68                  | One Night Pant                  | 3                  |
| 4             | STMEDR9Q                  | One Shirt                       | 4                  |
| 5             | HM89GQ73                  | One Banian                      | 5                  |

Examination was conducted between 13.09.2023 to 29.09.2023.

I have mentioned in detail regarding method of analysis in the report.

**Result of Examination as follows**

1. Blood stains were detected in article No.1 to 5.
2. Blood stains detected in article No.1 to 5 were of human origin.
3. Blood stains were detected in article No.4 and 5 belongs to 'O' blood group
4. Blood grouping of the blood stains detected in article No.1 to 3 could not be determined as the sample was insufficient for the analysis.

Above articles repacked and re sealed and returned back to concerned police.

Accordingly I issued the report Now I am see the report and sample seal before the court through V.C. I have identified it reported is marked as Ex.P.9 contained my digital signature and sample seal marked as Ex.P.11 and 12. Ex.P.11 and 12 contained my signature it is marked as Ex.P.11(a) and 12(a).

The MO.1 to 5 showed the witness through V.C. witness identified M.O.1 to 5.

**Cross examination: Sri. PCKR Counsel for accused.**

I am not aware of articles were sent after 3 months of the incident. I examined Iron pipe. It is false to suggest that I did not examined Iron pipe. Witness volunteers the we name the articles as per the I.O in our examination report. It is false to suggest that articles 1 to 5 did not contained blood stains. It is false to suggest that I have given false report.

Re examination Nil

(Typed in open court as per the dictation of P.O.)

R.O and F.C

IV Addl. Dist & Sessions Judge,  
Tumakuru-Madhugiri.