

**IV ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE, COURT
TUMAKURU – MADHUGIRI**

S.C.No 5016/2025

PW.1

CW.10

Date 08/12/2025 witness duly sworn

Name	Dr. K.Purushotham Naik K.
Father/Husband Name	Krishnanaik
Age	46
Occupation	Orthopedics surgeon, Koratagere General Hopsital,
Place of residence	Kortagere

Examination in Chief by Public Prosecutor

**From 2013 to till today I am working Orthopedics surgeon,
Koratagere General Hopsital.**

On 27.04.2024 at evening hours Hanumantharayappa brought by his relatives with history of assault by Ramachandraiah with knife on 27.04.2024.

On examination of Hanumantharayappa I found following injuries.

1. Multiple stab injuries over legs and head.
2. Server head injury
3. Fracture shaft of ulna (Fore arm fracture)

I am of the opinion that the above wound No.1 and 2 are in simple in nature. Injury No.3 is grievous nature.

Accordingly I issued the wound certificate. Now I see the wound certificate before this court it bears my signature same is marked as Ex.P1 and witness signature marked as Ex.P1(a).

Since patient sustained sever head injury, so I have referred him to district hospital Tumakur for CT scan.

On 20.09.2024 I have received requisition of PSI Koratagere opinion of the injuries and weapon and police produce the drager and Iron copper on examination I found that the injuries sustained by the Hanumantharyappa may be caused by using the drager and Iron copper which examined by me. Accordingly I have given a report, Now I see the report before this court it bears my signature same is marked as Ex.P2 and witness signature marked as Ex.P2(a).

2 Sealed white cloth bag produced seal intact opened in the open court it contained drager and iron copper shown to the witness, witness identified it same is marked as MO-1 and 2.

Cross examination: Sri. D.P.N. Counsel for accused.

It is true that the time when the injury was caused to Hanmantharayappa was not recorded in the injury certificate. It is

true that there was no difficulty in recording the time. It is true that the age of the injury was not recorded in Ex.P-1 injury certificate. It is not true that the injuries recorded in the injury certificate were not injuries caused by MO-1 and MO-2. It is true that the injuries to the victim were likely to be caused by sharp stone and glass when he was hit and cut. It is true that the injuries recorded in Ex.P-1 were likely to be caused by dropping on the sharp stone and glass. It is not true that I had given the false injury certificate and report on the request of the investigating officer without doing any examination to the victim. It is not true that MO-1 and MO-2 did not bear any distinguishing mark whereas Ex.M-2 bears a distinguishing mark and MO-1 does not bear any distinguishing mark. It is not true that I seeing MO-1 and MO-2 for the first time. It is not true that I had given the false injury certificate without any examination to the victim. It is not true that I had given any advice to go to Tumkur District Hospital.

Re examination Nil

(Typed in open court as per the dictation of P.O.)

R.O.I.C.

IV Addl. District & Session Judge,
Tumakuru – Madhugiri.