

IN THE COURT OF Ms NAINA JINDAL
Judicial Magistrate First Class, Patna City
Complaint Case No.-1252-2022(Supplementary record)
Sanjay Kumar Singh v. Pankaj Kumar

DATE	ORDER	Remarks
07.01.2026	It came to the notice of this Court, upon an application submitted by the Office Clerk-cum-Bench Clerk, that in the order dated 28.02.2025 passed under Section 203 CrPC, the names of the parties were different. In view thereof, the original record was summoned and carefully perused. Upon such perusal, it transpired that the order pertaining to Case No. CA 1355/2022, dated 27.02.2025, had been mistakenly enclosed with the record of the present case, i.e., Case No. CA 1252/2022, which was passed a day prior to the passing of the order in concerned case. It is a settled principle of law embodied in the maxim <i>Actus curiae neminem gravabit</i>, which means that no person should suffer on account of an act or omission of the Court. The Court, therefore, is under a duty to rectify such inadvertent errors so as to prevent any prejudice to the litigating parties arising from judicial or clerical mistakes. Accordingly, in light of the above discussion, the correct order passed in Case No. CA 1252/2022 is hereby directed to be enclosed with the order-sheet dated 28.02.2025. It is further clarified that the final order-sheet of Case No. CA 1355/2022 shall not be treated as part of the present record. The Office Clerk is directed to ensure that this order is shown to the learned Advocate of the complainant, and that the same is uploaded on	

the CIS forthwith.


NAINA JINDAL
JMFC
PATNA CITY