

IN THE COURT OF SMALL CAUSES AT MUMBAI

Order below Exh. 17

In

RAD Suit No. 221 of 2018

CNR No. MHSCA 20046222018

Tejkumar Mathurprasad Dikshit

... Plaintiff

Versus

Arvind Kothari

... Defendant

B.P. Shukla, Advocate for Plaintiff.

D.A. Barot, Advocate for Defendant.

**Coram :- Smt.Sangita R.Shinde
Judge**

Court Room No.17

Date :- 10.08.2018

ORDER :-

1. Perused the application. This is an application filed by the defendant for condonation of delay in written statement on record.
2. Plaintiff has resisted the present application on the ground that defendant has failed to give sufficient reason for condonation of delay in filing written statement.
3. Heard advocates of both the sides. It is admitted by the both parties that delay in filing written statement is of only 10 days. In such situation, considering the short duration of delay, I pass following order.

ORDER

1. Application is allowed.
2. Written Statement of defendant be taken on record.

Mumbai
Court Room No.17
Date :- 10.08.2018

(Sangita R.Shinde)
Judge

Order dictated on :- 10.08.2018
Order transcribed on :- 10.08.2018
Order checked & signed on :- 29.08.2018

(Sangita R.Shinde)
Judge