



**IN THE COURT OF Prl.CIVIL JUDGE & J.M.F.C., AT
CHIKKANAYAKANAHALLI**

Present: Sri. Yashwanth Kumar K., B.A., LL.B.,
Prl.Civil Judge and J.M.F.C.,
Chikkanayakanahalli.

Dated this the 04th day of July, 2026

O.S.No.245/2025

Plaintiff : Devika D/o Krishnaiah.B.,
W/o Ananda Kumar.K,
Aged about 49 years,
R/at: Siddanakatte,
Kandikere Hobli,
Chikkanayakanahalli Taluk.
Now R/at: Kanadalu Road,
Fort,VTC, Chikkamagaluru Nagar,
Chikkamagaluru Taluk & District

(By Sri.B.R., Advocate)

V/s

Defendants : 1. The Chief Secretary
Government of Karnataka,
Vidhanasoudha, Bengaluru,

2. The Deputy Director of Public
Instructions
Someshwara Extension
Dr.Servapalli Radhakrishna
Road, Tumkuru,
Tumakuru District.

3. The Block Education Officer
B.H.Road, Chikkanayakanahalli



Nagar, Chikkanayakanahalli Taluk,
Tumakuru District.

4. The Head Master
Govt.Lower Primary School
Siddanakatte, Kandikere Hobli
Chikkanayakanahalli Taluk.
5. The Head Master,
Govt.Higher Primary School,
Thimmanahalli, Kandikere
Hobli Chikkanayakanahalli Taluk.
6. The Head Master,
Shri. Sharada Vidya Peeta
High school, Thimmanahalli,
Kandikere Hobli,
Chikkanayakanahalli Taluk.

**(By Sri.A.G.P Adv, D1 to 5)
(D6-Exparte)**

Date of Institution of suit	01.07.2025		
Nature of the suit Date of commencement of	Declaration and Injunction		
recording of evidence	23.06.2026		
Date on which Judgment was pronounced	04.07.2026		
Total Duration	<u>Year/s</u> 01	<u>Month/s</u> 00	<u>Day/s</u> 03

(Yashwanth Kumar.K.)
Prl.Civil Judge & JMFC.,
Chikkanayakanahalli



JUDGMENT

Plaintiff has filed the suit against the defendants for mandatory injunction to direct the defendants to correct the name of the plaintiff as Devika W/o Anand Kumar K.L and for declaration in that effect.

2. The brief facts of the case of plaintiff is as under;

The plaintiff is the daughter of one Krishnaiah S/o Boraiah of Siddanakatte village, Kandikere Hobli, C.N.Halli Taluk and she studied 1st to 6th standard at Government Primary School at Siddanakatte. At the time of joining to the school, his father joined the plaintiff as Devalamba K. S/o Krishnaiah B. Thereafter, she joined the 7th standard at Thimmanahalli Higher Primary School there also continued the same and she studied 8th to 10th standard at Thimmanahalli Sri Sharada Vidya Petha and she also



completed her PUC there itself and there also the name of the plaintiff has continued as the same.

3. The plaintiff married with one Anand Kumar K in the year 1998 and after marriage her Aadhar card, PAN card, Ration card. There, the name of the plaintiff mentioned as Devalamba K. W/o Anand kumar K. Recently, the well-wishers and priest suggested to change the name of the plaintiff as Devika W/o Anand Kumar K instead of Devalamba K and she declared her name as Devika before Notary public at Tiptur. In the affidavit and she also taken the paper publication in Vartha Bharati. Hence, she sought the declaration to declare the name of the plaintiff as Devika. W/o Anand Kumar K. instead of Devalamba K. W/o Anand kumar K.

4. The summons duly served to the defendants, the defendant No.1 to 5 represented by learned ADGP. The defendant No.6 placed as exparte. The Learned ADGP filed the written statement.



5. **The brief facts of the written statement of dependent No.3 as follows.**

On the instruction of the parents of the plaintiff, the name of the plaintiff mentioned as Devalamba S/o Krishnaiah and there is no provision to correct the name of the plaintiff at this school. The plaintiff changed her name by declaring before the notary in the affidavit. It is no way concerned to the defendants and responsible by defendants. The plaintiff filed a false suit. Hence, prays to dismiss the suit.

6. In order to prove the plaintiff's case, plaintiff filed his affidavit in lieu of examination-in-chief and examined as PW.1 and produced documents, same are marked as Ex.P1 to P10.

7. Heard the Learned Counsel for the plaintiff.

8. Based on the pleadings of the parties, this Court has framed the following:-

1. Whether the plaintiff proves that her name is Devika W/o Anandkumar K?



2. Whether the plaintiff proves that the defendants wrongly entered the name of plaintiff?
 3. Whether the plaintiff is entitled to the reliefs prayed for?
 4. What order or decree?
9. The findings of this Court on the above points are;

Point No.1 : In the **Affirmative**;
Point No.2 : In the **Affirmative**;
Point No.3 : In the **Affirmative**
Point No.4 : As per final order,
for the following;

REASONS

10. **Points No.1 to 3:** These are issues are interlinked together, hence taken for common discussion to avoid repetition of facts and circumstances.

11. In order to prove the case of the plaintiff, the plaintiff herself examined as PW1 filed her affidavit in lieu of chief examination by reiterating the entire plaint averments. The sum substance of oral evidence of the



PW1 is that, she is the daughter of one Krishnaiah S/o Boraiah, born at Kandinakere Hobli, Siddanakatte Village. She studied 1st to 6th standard in primary school at Siddanakatte and her name to the school given as Devalamba K S/o Krishnaiah B. later she joined 7th standard to the higher primary school at Thimmanhalli. There also her name mentioned as Devalamba K S/o Krishnaiah B. and she studied 8th to 10th standard at Sri Sharada Vidyapeeta. There also her name mentioned as Devalamba K. S/o Krishnaiah B. and after she married with one Anand Kumar of Chikkamagaluru village in the year Chikkamagaluru in the year 1998 and after marriage. In all the government documents mentioned as Devalamba K. S/o Krishnaiah B. On the suggestion of the well-wishers and the priest, the plaintiff changed her name as Devika before the notary public at Tiptur, and the same was published in the Varta Bharati newspaper and she also issued notice to the defendants and



government to change her name but they have not replied to the said notice.

12. In support of her case, the plaintiff relied Ex.P-1 to Ex.P-10 documents Ex.P-1 to P.3 documents a study certificate of the plaintiff, which discloses that the name of the plaintiff mentioned as Devalamba K. Ex.P.4 is the admission extract to the PUC. There also the name of the plaintiff mentioned as Devalamba K. and Ex.P5 is the SSLC marks card. There is also the name of the plaintiff disclosing as Devalamba K. Ex.P6 is the PUC. Marks card, wherein also disclosing the name of the plaintiff as Devalamba K. The Ex.P7 is the affidavit declared by the plaintiff before the notary public at Tiptur. declaring her name as Devika W/o Anand Kumar instead of Devalamba K, W/o Anand Kumar K, and she also produced the paper publication Dated.16/10/2024 declare with publishing her name as Devika W/o Anand Kumar instead of



Devalamba S/o Krishnaiah B. Ex.P9 is the legal notice issued to the defendants and Ex.P10 is the RPAD receipt.

13. The counsel for the Learned ADGP cross-examined the PW1. During the cross-examination, the PW1 admitted that what the parents, what name the parents of the plaintiff given to the school was only entered in the school and after she changed her name before notary public by affidavit.

14. On perusal of the evidence produced by the plaintiff it is an undisputed fact that, the plaintiff's name earlier was Devalamba K. S/o Krishniah B. when she joined to the school 1 to 2nd PUC, and after she married with one Anand Kumar K. of Chikkamagaluru in the year 1998 and thereafter in the year 2024 she changed her name as Devika instead of Devalamba on the suggestion of her well-wishers. It is her right to change her name according to her wish. On the tradition of well-wishers and the priest as customs prevail in the under Hindu law



to changed the name for their good fortune. The plaintiff also published her name changed as Devika in the newspaper, on prima facie. It not appears that there is no any other intention to the plaintiff to change her name, the name of the plaintiff changed and directed the defendant to enter. There is no any prejudice will be caused to the defendants. Therefore, Point No.1 to 3 answer in **Affirmative**.

15. **Point No.4**: For the foregoing reasons, this Court proceed to pass the following;

ORDER

The suit of the plaintiff is decreed.

The name of the plaintiff declared as Devika W/o Anand Kumar K. accordingly the defendants are hereby directed to change the name of the plaintiff in their register as Devika instead of Devalamba K.

Draw decree accordingly.



(Computerized to my dictation by the steno, printout then revised, corrected, signed and pronounced by me in Open Court on this **04th day of July 2026**)

**(YASHWANTH KUMAR.K.)
PRL.CIVIL JUDGE AND J.M.F.C.,
CHIKKANAYAKANAHALLI.**

ANNEXURE

List of witnesses examined on behalf of Plaintiff

P.W1 : Devika

List of witnesses examined on behalf of Defendant

-Nil-

List of documents exhibited on behalf of Plaintiff :

Ex.P1 to 4 : School certificate
Ex.P5 : SSLC marks card
Ex.P6 : C/c of PUC markes card
Ex.P7 : Paper publication certificate
Ex.P8 : Paper publication
Ex.P9 : Office copy of notice
Ex.P10 : 03 Postal Receipts

List of documents exhibited on behalf of Defendant

-Nil-

sd/-

**PRL.CIVIL JUDGE AND J.M.F.C.,
CHIKKANAYAKANAHALLI.**