

Heard the counsel for plaintiff and L/ADGP on I.A.No. 2. For orders on I.A.No.2 k/by

ORDERS on I.A.NO.2

The plaintiff has filed I.A.NO.2 under Order 6 Rule 17 of CPC. For amendment of plaint to insert para No.6(a). In the affidavit annexed to I.A.No.2, the plaintiff submitted that the proposed amendment is necessary for the complete and effective adjudication of the dispute.

L/ADGP opposed the application contending that the prop. D11 is not the necessary party. Hence the proposed amendment is not required for adjudication of the dispute.

Heard both side.

The following point arose to the consideration:-

1. Whether the proposed amendment is necessary for complete and effective adjudication of the dispute?
2. What Order?

My answer to the above points as follows:-

Point No.1 – In Affirmative

Point No.2- As per final order for the following:

REASONS

The plaintiff has filed suit against the defendants for the relief of declaration and mandatory injunction to rectify the father name of the plaintiff.

The defendant No.11 subsequently impleaded to the suit. Now under the application the plaintiff sought to incorporate pleadings with regard to the Defendant No.11 as the plaintiff is

studying in the said University. Therefore, the proposed amendment is necessary for complete adjudication of the dispute. Accordingly, Point No.1 is answered in Affirmative.

Point No.2 :- In view of the above reasons this Court proceeds to pass the following:-

ORDER

IA.No.2 filed by the plaintiff under Order 6 Rule 17 of CPC is hereby allowed.

The plaintiff is permitted to amend the plaint and to furnish amended plaint. Call on 16.06.2026.

sd/-

PCJ & JMFC., C.N.Halli.
08.06.2026.