



IN THE COURT OF THE PRL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS
JUDGE, TUMAKURU

SC 125/2023

PW:34

Addl.witness C.W.43

DULY SWORN ON

DATE: 09.03.2026

NAME : Dr.Bharati Khodnapur
HUSBAND'S NAME : Ramesh
AGE : 39 years
OCCUPATION : Sr.Scientific Officer, FSL,
Madivala, Bengaluru
RESIDENCE : Bengaluru

(Witness present through VC. Dr.Manjunath M., Scientific Officer is appointed as co-ordinator at remote point and Smt.Shweta, System Assistant is appointed as co-ordinator at court point).

EXAMINATION IN CHIEF BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR:

1. Since 2017, I have been working as Scientific Officer and Sr.Scientific Officer at SFSL, Bengaluru. I have got in house training at SFSL, Bengaluru. I have examined the materials in more than 2000 cases and submitted reports. I gave evidence in more than 100 cases.

2. On 03.04.2023, our laboratory received 21 sealed articles sent in Cr.No.50/2023 of Hebbur police

station through CPC-622 Mallik of Hebbur police station. Later, it was entrusted to me for chemical examination. The seals found on the articles were intact and tallied with sample seal. The description found on the articles correspond to that of those present in the invoice.

3. The articles examined by me contained blood stained soil(spot1), control soil, blood stained soil (spot 2), blood stained swab collected from cement floor(1), blood stained swab collected from cement floor(2), blood stained swab collected from cement floor from inside the shop, blood stained swab collected from floor near wooden piece, blood stained swab collected from the stone bench, blood stained swab collected backside of the metal shop, one wooden repiece, one blanket, one shirt, one pant, one towel, one shirt, one pant, one shirt, one banian, one pant, one underwear and blood sample.

4. On examination, I gave result as presence of blood stains were detected in item No.1, 3 to 20. Presence of blood stains were not detected in item No.2. Blood stains detected in item No.1, 3 to 9, 11 to 20 are of human origin. Blood stain detected in item No.11, 15 to 20 belongs to 'A' Group. Blood stains detected in item No.12 to 14 belongs to 'B' Group. Blood grouping of the blood stains detected in item No.1, 3 to 9 could not be determined as the sample

was insufficient for the analysis. Blood stain detected in item No.10 was insufficient for the serological analysis. Blood sample sent in item No.21 is of human origin and belongs to 'A' Group. After the examination the articles were repacked and sent to I.O.

5. I have issued report. My report is marked as Ex.P68. Sample seals are marked as Ex.69 and Ex.P70 and my signature is marked as Ex.P70(a). Now I see the articles examined by me and they are already marked as M.O.1 to 19.

Cross examination by CDC Sri.R.Balakrishna, advocate for accused:

6. Blood stains were found in the wooden piece, pant and shirt here and there. It is not true to suggest that there were no blood stains found on item No.10 wooden piece, item No.15 pant and item No.16 shirt. It is not true to suggest that I have issued false report as per the say of police.

Re-examination : Nil

(Typed to my dictation in open court)

R.O.I.A& C

Sd/-

**Prl.District & Sessions Judge,
Tumakuru**

