

KATK010048602022



**IN THE COURT OF THE PRL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS
JUDGE, TUMKUR.**

Spl.C.468/2022

P.W. 6

C.W. 10

DULY SWORN ON

DATE:13.08.2025

NAME : Dr.Vishwanatha Reddy H.
FATHER'S NAME : Basavaraj H.
AGE : 39 years
OCCUPATION : Scientific Officer, Narcotic Section,
SFSL, Bengaluru
RESIDENCE : Bengaluru

(Witness present through VC. Smt.Reshma, Scientific Officer is appointed as co-ordinator at remote point and Sri.Lokesh Reddy, System Assistant is appointed as co-ordinator at court point).

Examination in chief by Public Prosecutor:

1. Since 2019 I have been working as Scientific Officer at Narcotic Section, State FSL, Bengaluru. I have undergone in house training in FSL, Bengaluru to examine the narcotic materials and I examined narcotic materials in 500 cases and gave evidence before the court in 50 cases.

2. On 21.06.2022, sealed articles were received in the laboratory for examination through Ramesh H.R, HC of CEN police station, Tumakuru. The seals found on the articles were intact and tallied with sample seal. The description found on the articles correspond to that of those present in the invoice. The articles received in the laboratory said to contain ganja and MDMA. On examination, I gave my opinion that the sample found in the article No.1 and 2 have responded positive for Cannabis(Ganja), the sample found in the article No.3 have responded positive for Methamphetamine and Cannabis contains psychoactive constituents and Methamphetamine is a stimulant drug. I gave report digitally signed by me and the said report is marked as Ex.P25 and my signature is marked as Ex.P25(a). Sample seal is marked as Ex.P26 and my signature is marked as Ex.P26(a). Now I see the articles which contain my signatures in the slips. The said articles are already marked as M.O.2 to 4.

Cross examination by Sri.D.A.Jagadish, advocate for the accused No.1 and 2 :

3. It is not true to suggest that I have not examined Ganja and MDMA and I gave false certificate to help the police. It is not true to suggest that I gave false opinion to help the Investigation Officer.

Cross examination by Sri.R.V.Doddashanaiah, advocate for the accused No.3 :

The learned counsel for accused No.3 submits that he adopts the cross examination of learned counsel for accused No.1 and 2.

Re-examination : Nil

(Typed to my dictation in open court)

R.O.I.A& C

**Prl.District & Sessions Judge,
Tumakuru.**