

IN THE COURT OF THE III ADDL. DISTRICT & SESSIONS
JUDGE, TUMKUR

Spl.C. No. 26/2020

PW - 9

CW - 9

Name	Dr.Vijayabhaskar.G.V.
Father's Name	G.Vasanthakumar
Age	43 years
Occupation	Dermatologist, C.V.Raman Hospital, Indiranagar, Bengaluru
Residence	Bengaluru

Witness duly sworn on 23.06.2026

Chief Examination: By Learned P.P.

1) From August 2017 to August 2023, I was working as Dermatologist in C.N.Halli government Hospital.

2) On 12.10.2019 at 4.10 p.m. CW-1 Umadevi D/o Ramaiah had come to OPD with the history of assault on the same day at 2.00 p.m. She was accompanied by her relative Savithramma.

3) On examination of CW-1, the following injuries were found.

i)	Tenderness over upper chest with mild swelling
----	--

4) I am of the opinion that the said injury is simple in nature. Accordingly, I have issued wound certificate and the same is marked as Ex.P-7 and signature of the witness is marked as Ex.P-7(a). The said injury could be caused by assault with hands.

5) On the same day CW-2 Savithramma had appeared before me at 4.15 p.m. with the history of alleged assault on the same day at 2.00 p.m. She was accompanied by her relative Giriyappa.

6) On examination of CW-2, the following injuries were found.

i)	Tenderness over the neck
ii)	Tenderness over the back with mild swelling

7) I am of the opinion that the said injury is simple in nature. Accordingly, I have issued wound certificate and the same is marked as Ex.P-8 and signature of the witness is marked as Ex.P-8(a). The injury No.1 could be caused with assault with hands. The possibility of causing injury No.2 by

assault with club is unlikely. The witness has produced the copies of MLC register extracts and the same are marked as Ex.P-9 and 10.

Cross Examination: By Sri.N.B. Advocate for accused:

8) To the question that the tenderness injury is not visible, the witness has answered that there was mild swelling. It is true to suggest that I have not mentioned in the wound certificate that there was mild swelling. It is true to suggest that there are chances of the injured pretending about the pain. It is true to suggest that I have not mentioned in the wound certificate as to by whom the injured persons were assaulted. I have informed the jurisdictional police regarding the treatment provided to the injured with the history of assault. The police have visited the hospital and enquired with the injured persons. I was not present at the time when the injured persons gave statement to the police.

9) It is not true to suggest that I have subsequently entered the details in the MLC register at the instance of Giriyappa with regard to the names of assailants. It is not true to suggest that I am deposing false evidence that there was

mild swelling in the injuries referred in the wound certificate. It is not true to suggest that I have issued false wound certificate at the instance of Giriyappa.

Re – Examination: Nil

(Typed to my diction in Open Court)

R.O.I. A & C

III Addl. Dist. & Sessions Judge,
Tumkur.