

CT Case No. 13/22
IA No. 3/22
ECIR No: KLZO/41/2020
U/S : 3 & 4 of PMLA

Directorate of Enforcement v. Mohd. Enamul Haque

02.11.2022

Present: Sh. Nitesh Rana and Sh. Arun Khatri, Ld. SPP for the ED along with Sh. Deepak Nagar and IO Sh. Pankaj Kumar-ADI ED.

Accused No.1 Mohd. Enamul Haque produced from JC.

Sh. Shaikh Shahbuddin Ahammad AR for M/s Hoque Industries Pvt. Ltd (Accused No.2).

Sh. Salim Hossain, AR for accused No.3 M/s Hoque Mercantile Pvt. Ltd **is absent.**

Accused No.4 Vinay Mishra **is absent.**

Accused No. 5 Vikas Mishra is exempted from personal appearance for this day through his Counsel.

Accused No.4 and 5 also represent accused No.6 M/s Anant Tradecom Pvt. Ltd being the then Director.

Accused No.7 Satish Kumar produced from JC.

Accused No.8 Ms. Tanya Sanyal on bail.

Accused No.9 Badal Krishna Sanyal is absent.

Accused no. 10 Rajan Poddar (with Counsel) who is also representing A-11 (M/s Tekmek Trading Co. Pvt. Ltd. and 12 (M/s Vitro Suppliers Pvt. Ltd).

Sh. Gaurav Kakar and Sh. Jaskirat Singh, Ld. Counsel for accused no.1.

Sh. Somesh Chandra Jha Ld. Counsel for accused no.2.

Sh. Rahul Narang, Ld. Counsel for accused no.3.

Sh. Manu Sharma, Sh. Abhir Dutt, Sh. Abhyuday Sharma and Sh. Debayan Gangopadhyay Ld. Counsels for accused 5 and 6.

Sh. Rahul Meena & Sh. Manoj Singh, Ld. Counsel for accused No. 7,8 and 9.

Accused Vikas Mishra has already been enlarged on bail in the Scheduled Offence i.e. CBI case from the concerned Jail of Asansol West Bengal. He is being exempted for this day through his Counsel. However, it is being made clear that he shall physically appear in the Court from the next date of hearing onwards as the protection afforded by the Hon'ble High Court to him was for the reason that he was already in JC there in the State of West Bengal and the concerned Jail Superintendent had been directed to provide VC access to him to appear in this Court while in JC.

An application seeking exemption from personal appearance has been moved on behalf of AR for accused no.3 on the ground that the wife of the AR of accused no.3 is hospitalized.

Heard. Considering the facts and circumstances, exemption is allowed for this day only.

Heard on the application moved on behalf of Sh. Satbir Singh (surety of accused No. 8 Ms. Tania Sanyal) to issue corrigendum in continuation of order dated 03.09.2022. Considering the facts and circumstances, application stands disposed of as allowed.

At this stage, an application u/s 328 R/w 330 Cr. P.C has been moved on behalf accused no.9 (Badal Krishna Sanyal) by accused No. 8 (Tania Sanyal). Copy supplied.

An application has also been moved on behalf of accused Md. Enamul Haque seeking direction for providing sleeping mattress to the accused. Copy supplied.

Put up on 07.11.2022 for recording statement of the Process Server/s who had executed the process u/s 82 Cr. P.C qua accused Vinay Mishra and also for reply and arguments on the application moved on behalf of accused Md. Enamul Haque.

Be put up on **30.01.2023** for further proceedings/ for reply and arguments on the application u/s 328 R/w 330 Cr. P.C moved on behalf accused no.9 (Badal Krishna Sanyal) by accused No. 8 (Tania Sanyal). Bail application as well as application u/s 207 Cr. P.C of accused Vikas Mishra shall also be taken up on the date fixed. *Till then interim bail of accused Vikas Mishra is extended on the same terms and conditions.*

(Raghubir Singh)
Special Judge (PC Act) (CBI)-18,
Rouse Avenue District Courts,
New Delhi/02.11.2022