

FORM A IN THE COURT OF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE (1ST CLASS) 7th COURT, BANKURA SADAR Present : NAUSIN ANJUM, (WB01383) ON THIS DAY OF 17th DAY of AUGUST, 2026 (Details of FIR/Crime and Police Station)		
COMPLAINANT	STATE OF WEST BENGAL (DE-FACTO – PURNIMA BAURI @ MONDAL)	
REPRESENTED BY	SHRI JOYDEB MUKHERJEE, LD. A.P.P.	
ACCUSED	A1. A2. A3. A4.	Rajib Bauri Sudip Bauri Laxman Bauri Mira Bauri
REPRESENTED BY	MR. SATYAJIT BAURI, LD. ADVOCATE	

FORM B	
Date of Offence	07/03/2025
Date of FIR	F.I.R. No. 59 of 2025 dated 11/04/2025 under G.Ghati Police Station
Date of Charge sheet	30/04/2025
Date of Framing of Charge	12/09/2025
Date of commencement of Evidence	18/07/2026
Date on which Judgment is reserved	N.A.
Date of the Judgment	17/08/2026
Date of the Sentencing Order, if any	N.A.

Accused Details:							
Rank of the Accused	Name of the Accused	Date of arrest	Date of release on Bail	Offences charged with	Whether acquitted or convicted	Sentence imposed	Detention Undergone during Trial (428 Cr. P.C.)
1.	Rajib Bauri	12/04/2025	07/07/2025	U/s 85 of the B.N.S.	ACQUITTED	N.A.	N.A.
2.	Sudip Bauri	12/04/2025	06/06/2025	U/s 85 of the B.N.S.	ACQUITTED	N.A.	N.A.
3.	Laxman Bauri	12/04/2025	30/04/2025	U/s 85 of the B.N.S.	ACQUITTED	N.A.	N.A.
4.	Mira Bauri	12/04/2025	30/04/2025	U/s 85 of the B.N.S.	ACQUITTED	N.A.	N.A.

FORM C		
LIST OF PROSECUTION/DEFENCE/COURT WITNESSES		
A. Prosecution :		
RANK	NAME	NATURE OF EVIDENCE (EYE WITNESS, POLICE WITNESS, EXPERT WITNESS, MEDICAL WITNESS, PANCH WITNESS, OTHER WITNESS)
PW1	PURNIMA BAURI @ MONDAL	DE-FACTO COMPLAINANT
PW2	KALPANA MONDAL	OTHER WITNESS
PW3	ACHINTA KUMAR GHOSH	OTHER WITNESS
PW4	NAMITA MONDAL	OTHER WITNESS
B. Defence witness, if any :N.A.		
RANK	NAME	NATURE OF EVIDENCE (EYE WITNESS, POLICE WITNESS, EXPERT WITNESS, MEDICAL WITNESS, PANCH WITNESS, OTHER WITNESS)
D.W.		

C. Court Witnesses, if any: N.A.

RANK	NAME	NATURE OF EVIDENCE (EYE WITNESS, POLICE WITNESS, EXPERT WITNESS, MEDICAL WITNESS, PANCH WITNESS, OTHER WITNESS)
C.W.		

LIST OF PROSECUTION/DEFENCE/COURT EXHIBITS

A. Prosecution:

Sl. No.	Prosecution Exhibit number	Description
1.	Exhibit-1	WRITTEN COMPLAINT
2.	Exhibit-1/1	SIGNATURE OF PW-1 ON WRITTEN COMPLAINT

B. Defence : N.A.

Sl. No.	Defence Exhibit number	Description
1.	N.A.	

C. Court Exhibits : N.A.

Sl. No.	Court Exhibit number	Description
1.	N.A.	

D. Material objects : N.A.

Sl. No.	Material Exhibit number	NATURE OF EVIDENCE (EYE WITNESS, POLICE WITNESS, EXPERT WITNESS, MEDICAL WITNESS, PANCH WITNESS, OTHER WITNESS)
1.	N.A.	

JUDGEMENT

The prosecution case, in a nutshell, is that the de facto complainant got married to Rajib Bauri as per Hindu rites and customs on 14/03/2022. After her marriage, the de facto complainant went to her matrimonial house and started to live with her husband and in-laws. The accused persons started to torture upon her both physically and mentally and asked her to bring more dowery from her parents' house. The husband of the complainant was also involved with an illicit relationship with one lady and when the complainant protested it, the accused persons multiplied the torture upon her. The complainant informed the matter of torture to her mother and father. Thereafter, the complainant filed this case against the accused persons.

After completion of investigation, the concerned I.O. submitted charge-sheet against the accused persons. Hence, this case.

Dictated and corrected by

Page 2 of 4

Judicial Magistrate, 7th Court, Bankura Sadar

The accused persons were enlarged on bail by the Court of law and the case was transferred to this Court for disposal. Thereafter, charge was framed and evidence was adduced. On closure of prosecution evidence, the accused persons have been examined u/s 351 BNSS. wherein they pleaded their innocence throughout and preferred not to adduce any defence witnesses.

CHARGE

At the commencement of trial, the substance of charge was read over and explained to the accused persons in Bengali language to which they pleaded not guilty and claimed to be tried.

POINTS FOR DETERMINATION

1. Whether the accused persons have committed the offence as alleged against them ?
2. Whether the prosecution has been able to prove its case beyond all shadows of reasonable doubts ?

DECISION WITH REASONS

Both the points have been taken up together for sake of brevity and undue prolixity and repetition. From the testimony of **P.W.-1, Purnima Bauri @ Mondal** being the de facto complainant of this case, in her examination-in-chief, categorically stated the fact as written above.

PW-2, during his examination-in-chief and cross-examination, he stated that **“I know the de facto complainant Purnima Bauri. She was physically and mentally tortured by her in-laws. I do not know the reason why she was physically tortured by her husband and in-laws.”**

PW-3, during his examination-in-chief and cross-examination, he stated that **“I know the de facto complainant of this case. She has filed this case against her husband and in-laws. I do not know who tortured upon her. I do not why she has filed this case.”**

So inconsistencies and infirmities like, non corroboration, divergence from the written complaint, non-proving the contents of the complaint, non-

adducing the evidence of any other independent witness, it cannot be taken into sacrosanct that the prosecution has been able to prove the prosecution case beyond all shadow of reasonable doubts and for that the same cannot be taken into sacrosanct to form the basis of conviction in a criminal trial and for which the accused persons are liable to be adjudged as acquitted.

Hence, it is

ORDERED

that the accused persons namely, **1. Rajib Bauri, 2. Sudip Bauri, 3. Laxman Bauri and 4. Mira Bauri** are hereby adjudged as acquitted under section 271 of BNSS from the accusations under Section **85 of the B.N.S.**

They are released from their respective bail bonds and the sureties concerned are also discharged accordingly as per provision of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

Seized alat, if any, be disposed of in accordance with law after appeal period, if preferred.

Dictated and corrected by me

(Nausin Anjum)
Judicial Magistrate, 7th Court
Bankura Sadar

(Nausin Anjum)
Judicial Magistrate, 7th Court
Bankura Sadar