

IN THE COURT OF THE PRL. SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE & JMFC., SAGAR.

Dated this the 20th day of February 2019

O.S. 29/2018

Between

Ganapathi H.K & Ors. ... PLAINTIFFS

-And-

B.N.Chandrashekara ... DEFENDANT

ISSUES

1. Whether the defendant proves that Gajananaiah and Sharadamma in registered Will dated 21-06-1985 executed by them in favour of plaintiffs have reserved right to cancel the said registered will ?
2. Whether the defendant proves that Sharadamma had executed registered Will cancellation deed dated 23-01-1986 and thereby cancelled registered Will dated 21-06-1985?
3. Whether the defendant proves that by virtue of sec.14(1) of Hindu Succession Act 1956, the limited right of maintenance of Sharadamma provided in registered Will dated 21-06-1985 became absolute right over schedule property?
4. Whether plaintiffs prove that they are in possession and enjoyment of suit schedule property as on the date of institution of suit?
5. Whether plaintiffs prove that defendant has interfered in their possession and enjoyment of suit schedule property?
6. Whether the plaintiffs are entitled for the relief of declaration as sought for in plaint prayer(a)?

7. Whether the plaintiffs are entitled for the relief of declaration as sought for in plaint prayer(b)?

8. Whether the plaintiffs are entitled for the relief of permanent injunction as sought for in plaint prayer(c)?

9. What order or decree?

(G.RAGHAVENDRA)
Prl. Senior Civil Judge
& JMFC., Sagar.

- - -

Issues are framed. Both parties counsels are directed to keep present the parties before the court in the next hearing date to direct the parties to opt either mode of settlement out side the court as specified in section 89 of CPC, 1908 as provided under order X rule 1 (A) of CPC and also for filing of list of witnesses to depose or to produce the documents.

Call on : 24.01.2018.