

IN THE COURT OF V ADDL., DISTRICT & SESSIONS
JUDGE, SHIVAMOGGA, (SITTING AT SAGARA)

Sessions Case No.10006/2023

PW 12 CW 09

Deposition of	Dr. Shwetha
Husband's name	Dr. Lingaraju
Age	35 Years
Occupation	Gynaecologist, Shikaripura Hospital
Residence	Shikaripura

(Witness examined through V.C.)

Duly sworn on 20-01-2026

Examination in chief by the Public Prosecutor.

From July 2018 to August 2023, I was working as Senior Specialist in General Hospital, Soraba.

2. On 06-03-2022 at about 5.00 p.m. a patient by name Lokesha S/o. Bangarappa, aged about 60 years, R/o. Yalasi , Soraba taluk was brought to the Soraba Government Hospital with the alleged history of assault. On examining the said Lokesha, I found the following injuries on him:

1. A cut lacerated wound of 1 cm X 1cm on left side of mastoid process.
2. A curvilinear Abrasion of 8 cms over left shoulder joint.

3. The above said injuries are simple in nature. I have issued the wound certificate regarding the same. Now, I see the wound certificate and

the same is already marked as Ex.P13, the witness signature is marked as Ex.P13(b).

4. On the same day, at the same time the another patient by name Malathesh S/o. Lokesh, aged about 29 years, R/o. Yalasi, Soraba taluk was brought to the Soraba Government Hospital with the alleged history of assault. On examining the said Malathesh, I found the following injuries on him:

1. A cut lacerated wound on left side of frontal area vertically of 7 X 1 cm.

5. The above said injuries are simple in nature. I have issued the wound certificate regarding the same. Now, I see the wound certificate and the same is already marked as Ex.P14, the witness signature is marked as Ex.P14(b).

6. On 23.08.2022, the Soraba Police submitted one sickle – Kandli made of Iron curved tip, small chop of piece in tip having wooden handle fist size and sought opinion regarding occurrence of the nature of the injury by using said weapon. After examining the said weapon, I issued the opinion stating that the said weapon can caused the injuries mentioned in the wound certificate when hit with force. Now, I see the opinion issued by me and same is already marked as Ex.P15 and the witness signature is marked as Ex.P15(a). I can identify the sickle examined by me and now, I see the same before the court. The sickle is already marked as MO 4. The blood group of the Lokesh and Malathesh was tested in our Hospital and the blood group report given the Soraba Police. Now, I see the said blood group reports of Lokesh and Malathesh and the same are marked as Ex.P19 and Ex.P20 and the witness signatures are marked as Ex.P19(a) and Ex.P20(a).

Cross examination by Sri. EN Advocate on behalf of the Accused
No.1 and 2:

7. It is true to suggest that the injuries sustained by the Malathesh / CW1 can happen if he falls on a sharp surface. It is true to suggest that the injuries sustained by the Lokesh / CW2 can happen if he falls on a hard surface. It is true to suggest that in Ex.P15, the date has been altered. It is true to suggest that as per the request of the I.O, I have issued the opinion as per Ex.P15. It is false to suggest that the I.O had not produced the MO4 before me for taking opinion as per Ex.P15. It is false to suggest that at the instance of the I.O. I have issued false opinion as per Ex.P15. It is false to suggest that the said patient Malathesh and Lokesh had not come to our Hospital on 15-03-2022. It is false to suggest that I am deposing falsely before the court that the said patients had come to our hospital on 15.03.2022. It is true to suggest that Ex.P19 and Ex.P20 reports are not issued by me. It is false to suggest that in order to help the Investigation I have deposed falsely before the court. Witness volunteers that the said reports i.e. Ex.P19 and Ex.P20 are issued by Dr. Suneetha.

Re-examination : NIL

(Typed to my dictation in the Open court.)

R.O.I & A.C.

Vth Addl. District & Sessions Judge,
Shivamogga (Sitting at Sagara)