

**IN THE COURT OF I ADDITIONAL SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE
at KAKINADA.**

Present: **K.Venkateswara Rao,**
I Additional Senior Civil Judge, Kakinada.

Friday, this the 7th day of July, 2023

HMOP.No.504/2022

Between:-

1. Veluri Hemanth, S/o.V.V.Purnanandasasthy, aged 33 years, R/o.H.No.12-7-45/16/2, Plot No.41, Maheswari Nagar, Near Loyala School, Bharath Nagar Colony, Hyderabad, Rangareddy District, Telangana State.
2. Veluri (Lingam) Krishna Deepika, W/o.Veluri Hemanth, Aged 31 years, R/o.D.No.7-190, Lakula Street, Indrapalem, Kakinada Rural Mandal, Kakinada District.

... Petitioners

And

- Nil -

... Respondent

This petition has come before me on 04.07.2023 for hearing in presence of Sri B.Ramalinga Sarma, Advocate for the petitioners. Upon perusing the material on record and upon having stood over for consideration till this day, this court made the following:

ORDER

This petition is filed by the petitioners, who are husband and wife U/sec.13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act seeking divorce by way of dissolution of their marriage dated 19.08.2018 by mutual consent.

2. The brief facts of the petition are that their marriage was performed on 19.08.2018 at T.T.D. Kalyana Mandapam, Balaji Cheruvu Centre, Kakinada as per Hindu rites and customs. The marriage was consummated, but there is no issues. There are misunderstandings between them as both of them have different opinions. As such they have been living separately from each other at different places since 05.10.2020. There are no talking and visiting terms between them. Thereupon the matter was placed before the elders and well wishers of both parties and they tried to settle the disputes, but in vain. Hence, they have decided before the elders to get dissolve their marriage through Court of law by way of mutual consent and the permanent alimony was settled between them.

Hence, the petitioners filed this petition.

3. As per record, this petition was filed before the Court on 08.12.2022. After hearing both the petitioners, initially the Court directed the office on 08.12.2022 to number the petition and posted the matter to 14.06.2023 after six months. Both the petitioners were present before this Court on 04.07.2023. This Court also enquired with the petitioners for reunion, but they stated that there are no chances for their reunion to lead marital life. Further, they filed their examination in chief affidavits as P.W.1 and P.W.2. Exs.P1 to P3 was marked through P.W.1. Their counsel reported no further evidence. Both the petitioners prayed this Court to dissolve their marriage by granting divorce by mutual consent.

4. Heard the counsel for the petitioners.

Now the Point for consideration is:

Whether the petitioners are entitled to get dissolve their marriage by mutual consent as prayed for?

5. POINT:

The 1st petitioner who is husband filed his examination in chief affidavit as PW1 by reiterating the entire contents of petition. The 2nd petitioner also filed her examination in chief affidavit as PW2 in the same lines. As per the evidence of P.W.1 and P.W.2, their marriage was performed on 19.08.2018 at T.T.D. Kalyana Mandapam, Balaji Cheruvu Centre, Kakinada as per Hindu rites and customs. The marriage was consummated, but there is no issues. Thereafter some disputes and misunderstandings cropped up between them and they have been living separately since 05.10.2020. There are no talking and visiting terms between them. They further stated that they settled all the monitory transaction among them. They further stated in one voice that they are unable to lead conjugal life with each other and all the efforts made by elders and relatives for their reunion are in vain.

6. As per the evidence of both the petitioners, they have been living separately since 05.10.2020 and all the efforts made by their elders and relatives

including the efforts made by the Court by way of reconciliation for their reunion are in vain. The 1st petitioner filed Ex.P1 wedding card, Ex.P2 marriage photos along with corresponding CD and Ex.P3 marriage certificate dated 09.11.2018 issued by Marriage Registrar, Kakinada to prove their relationship as husband and wife. The petitioners did not come forward for their reunion and that they have strongly abide for their separation. As such, the petitioners are entitled to get dissolve their marriage since there is no possibility for their reunion to continue their conjugal life. Accordingly, this point is answered.

7. In the result, the petition is allowed by dissolving the marriage between the 1st petitioner and 2nd petitioner by way of granting divorce under Sec.13-B of Hindu Marriage Act 1955. There shall be no order as to costs.

Typed to my dictation by the Stenographer Gr-III, corrected and pronounced by me in open Court, this the 7th day of July 2023.

Sd/- K.Venkateswara Rao
I Addl. Senior Civil Judge,
Kakinada.

APPENDIX OF EVIDENCE
Oral Evidence

For Petitioners:

PW.1: Veluri Hemanth
PW.2: Veluri (Lingam) Krishna Deepika

For Respondent: - None -

Documentary evidence

For Petitioner:

Ex.P1: Wedding Card.
Ex.P2: Marriage photos 2 in number along with corresponding CD.
Ex.P3: Marriage certificate dated 09.11.2018 issued by Marriage Registrar,
Kakinada.

For Respondent: - Nil -

Id/- K.V.R
I A.S.C.J
KAKINADA.