

CS SCJ 2538/21

PUNJAB NATIONAL BANK Vs. BANK OF MAHARASHTRA

03.02.2026

Present: Sh. Ajay Shankar and Sh. Anuj Pandey, Ld. counsel for plaintiff with AR.
Sh. Rishabh Yadav, Ld. counsel for defendant no.1.
None for defendant no.2.

The matter is listed for admission/denial of documents and framing of issues.

Hard copy of the newspaper 'Veer Arjun' has been filed by plaintiff. Same is taken on record.

Affidavit of admission/denial of documents along with an application for substitution of AR along with GPA has been filed by plaintiff. Same is taken on record.

In the application for substitution of AR, it is stated that Sh. Prabha Shankar Jha has been appointed as the new AR in place of the earlier AR.

In view of the reasons stated in the application, the application for substitution of AR of plaintiff is allowed. Accordingly, Sh. Prabha Shankar Jha is substituted as new AR of plaintiff.

At this stage, Ld. counsel for defendant no. 1 submits that he wishes to withdraw the vakalatnama filed on behalf of defendant no.1 as the previous branch of defendant no.1 is closed and despite the several follow ups with the defendant no.1 bank he is unable to trace the branch which is currently dealing with the present case and prays

that he along with the counsel whose names are mentioned in the vakalatnama may be discharged as counsel for defendant no.1 in the present case.

Separate statement of Ld. counsel for defendant no.1 in this regard has been recorded today.

In view of the aforesaid, Sh. Rishabh Yadav and other counsel whose names are mentioned in the vakalatnama filed on behalf of defendant no.1 are discharged as counsel from the present case.

Let court notice be issued to defendant no.1 for NDOH. Notice be sent electronically as well.

Put up for admission/denial of documents and framing of issues on **25.03.2026**.

(Akshay Prakash)
Civil Judge-04, Central,
Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi
03.02.2026