

**IN THE COURT OF SHRI AJAY KUMAR SHARDA, SESSIONS
JUDGE, KARNAL (UID NO.HR0061)**

	CNR No. HRKR01-010353-2025
	CIS No. BA/2961/2025
	DATE OF INSTITUTION: 09.09.2025
	DATE OF ORDER: 15.09.2025
	DURATION: 0 YEAR, 0 MONTH, 6 DAYS

Sahil @ Murgi, aged about 25 years, son of Sumer Chand, resident of Jundla Gate, Karnal, Haryana (presently lodged in District Jail, Karnal).

..... Petitioner-accused.

Versus

State of Haryana.

..... Respondent.

FIR No.201 dated 12.03.2025

***Under Sections: 110, 115, 126, 351(2) read with section 3(5) of
Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (B.N.S), 2023.***

Police Station: City, Karnal.

<i>APPLICATION UNDER SECTION 483 OF BHARATIYA NAGRIK SURAKSHA SANHITA (B.N.S.S), 2023 FOR REGULAR BAIL</i>

Present: Shri Shubham Kalia, counsel for petitioner-accused.
 Shri Satinder Kumar, Public Prosecutor for the State-respondent
 assisted by Investigating Officer.

ORDER:

 This order of mine shall dispose of application moved by petitioner under Section 483 of B.N.S.S for regular bail.

2. As per case of prosecution, on 10.03.2025, complainant along-with his brother Arman was coming home from Virk Hospital. His brother was on motorcycle and he was on bicycle. When they reached near Govt. School,

Jundla then his brother was obstructed by 3-4 boys and got scuffle with him. When he asked the reasons of scuffle from the said boys, they also gave beating with complainant. He does not know what they (accused) hit on his head and due to which, he (complainant) fell down. They also gave beatings to his brother. He and his brother does not know the said boys. All three boys came on one motorcycle and while leaving, they threatened to kill him.

3. Learned counsel argued that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. He is not named in the FIR and has been involved in this case on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused which is not admissible against him. Learned counsel contended that even after arrest, no Test Identification Parade of petitioner was got conducted in this case. Learned counsel contended that petitioner is in custody since 16.07.2025; co-accused Aman and Sahil to whom similar role has been attributed, have been released on bail; nothing was recovered from him; challan has already been presented and trial will take long time to conclude, therefore, in this situation, further detention of petitioner would be nothing but mere abuse of process of law.

4. On the other hand, learned Public Prosecutor hotly opposed the application on the ground that the petitioner is accused of commission of heinous crime as he along-with co-accused caused two injuries on head of complainant. It is contended that investigation qua petitioner is still pending. Moreover, petitioner is a habitual offender and is involved in 11 more cases including 302, 376 and 379-B of IPC in different Police Stations. Learned Public Prosecutor argued that in case, petitioner is granted concession of bail, he may put pressure upon prosecution witnesses and prayed for dismissal of the bail application.

5. I have heard learned Public Prosecutor for State and learned counsel for petitioner and have perused the record of the case minutely and carefully.

6. Keeping in view the fact that petitioner is in custody since 16.07.2025; co-accused have already been released on bail and there are no allegations of misuse of concession of bail by them in any manner coupled with the fact that investigation is over; challan has already been presented and trial may take long time to conclude, therefore, no useful purpose would be served by keeping him behind bars, thus, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the accused-petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- with, one surety in the like amount each to the satisfaction of this Court. With the above observations, the bail application stands **allowed**. Papers be tagged with the record.

Pronounced in open court
Dated: 15.09.2025

(Ajay Kumar Sharda)
Sessions Judge, Karnal.
UID No.HR0061

Typed by: Kapil, Stenographer-II

Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from Android or iOS

CNR No.HRKR01-010353-2025

CIS No: BA-2961-2025

Present: Shri Shubham Kalia, counsel for petitioner-accused.
Shri Satinder Kumar, Public Prosecutor for the State-respondent
assisted by Investigating Officer.

Reply has been filed.

Arguments heard. Vide my separate detailed order of the even date,
instant bail application has been **allowed**. Papers be tagged with the record.

Date of Order: 15.09.2025

(Ajay Kumar Sharda)
Sessions Judge, Karnal.
UID No. HR0061

Typed by: Kapil, Stenographer-II