

**CS No. 2892/2017**  
**RAJAN JAIN Vs SANJAY JAIN**

22.02.2021

Present: Sh. Rajan Jain Plaintiff No. 1 (also on behalf of plaintiff no. 2) in person alongwith Sh. Tara Chand Jain Ld. Counsel.

Sh. Sanjay Jain Defendant No. 1 (also on behalf of Defendant No. 2,5 and 6).

Defendant No. 3 and 4 are exparte.

Sh. Vikas Gupta Ld. Proxy Counsel for Defendant No. 5 and 6.

An application u/o 22 rule 4 read with Section 151 CPC filed on behalf of plaintiff alleging that Defendant No. 5 has expired. It is further stated that plaintiff are not willing to implead her LRs and it is prayed that her name may be deleted from the array of parties. Copy of this application has been supplied. Amended memo of parties is also filed alongwith application.

Considering the submissions made on behalf of plaintiff, therefore as per their submissions, name of Defendant No. 5 is allowed to be deleted from the array of parties.

Amended memo of parties is taken on record.

Adjournment sought on behalf of Defendant No. 1,2 and 6 alleging that their counsel is not available for cross examination.

Adjournment is strongly opposed. Considering the circumstances and in the interest of justice, one last and final opportunity is granted to lead PE.

Put up for PE on 04.05.2021.

**(Prashant Kumar)**  
ADJ- 01 (Central),  
THC, Delhi, 22.02.2021