

Crl.Misc .48/2026
CASE CALLED BEFORE NATIONAL LOK-
ADALATH

The Petitioner and respondent have filed joint memo. The petitioner has appeared and submitted that, She is ready to enter into the compromise. She has produced the original documents with regard to her daughter date of birth. The documents shown by the petitioner are verified and same are found satisfactory. In view of the joint memo filed by the parties, the petition under Section 13(3) of registration of Births and Deaths Act, 1969 is hereby allowed. Crl.Misc.48/2026 In the case of Devamma V/s Registrar, Births and Deaths Mysore reported in AIR 2004 KARNATAKA 221 the Hon'ble High Court has observed that, the correctness or other wise of the date of birth concerned is totally irrelevant in the proceedings under Section 13 (3) of Registration of Births and Deaths Act, 1969 and only the fact of birth is relevant. Hence, the respondent is hereby directed to enter the date of birth of the petitioner by name Kum. Varalakshmi D/o Krishnappa, Aged about 19 years, R/at N Hosapalya village, Kudur Hobli, Magadi Taluk, Bangalore South District, in the concerned register as 25-11-2007 after collecting the fee in that behalf. This entry shall not be the conclusive proof regarding the date of birth of petitioner, if it is disputed in any criminal case of litigation. Crl.Misc.48/2026 It is further clarified that this order is only applicable to produce before the respondent Office to enter the date of birth and it is not valid for any other purpose. Judicial Conciliator
Non-Judicial Conciliator

C/c Addl.Civil Judge & JMFC.,
Magadi