

**COURT OF ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-XIII, PATNA**

**A.B.P. No. 498 of 2026  
(Janipur PS Case No. 11 of 2026)**

---

**(1) Daya Shankar @ Raushan Kumar, S/o Dinbandhu Rai**

**(2) Sonu Kumar @ Rahul Kumar, S/o Shambhu Rai**

**Both are R/o- Dhuparchak, P.S.- Janipur, District- Patna**

**..... Petitioners**

**Vs.**

**State of Bihar**

**..... Opposite Party**

**Appearance:-**

**For the Petitioner: Sri Faiz Naseem, Ld. Advocate**

**For the Opposite Party: Ld. A.P.P.**

---

**ORDER**

**01.07.2026**

1. The instant anticipatory bail application bearing A.B.P. No. 498/2026 has been filed on behalf of the accused persons namely; (1) *Daya Shankar @ Raushan Kumar* and (2) *Sonu Kumar @ Rahul Kumar*, for apprehension of their arrest in connection with Janipur PS Case No. 11 of 2026 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109(1), 303(2), 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of BNS.

**Story of the prosecution**

2. The prosecution story in short is that on 11.01.2026 at about 04:00 p.m., when the informant was going towards his village Dhuparchak, he saw that on the way, Deenbandhu Rai, Shambhu Rai, Daya Shankar @ Raushan and Sonu Kumar, were drinking alcohol. On seeing the informant all of them stopped him and started abusing and assaulted him severely. They also snatched one gold chain, watch and Rs.5,200/- cash from the informant. Due to physical assault the informant became unconscious and was admitted to S.S. Neuro Hospital, AIIMS Patna. For better treatment the informant was admitted to TRAUMA WARD of AIIMS Patna. Accordingly, FIR is registered.

**Argument on behalf of accused/petitioner**

3. The Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/petitioners has submitted that the petitioners have not filed any regular bail or anticipatory bail before this court or before Hon'ble High Court. Petitioners have no criminal antecedent. The petitioners have committed no offence and have been falsely implicated in this case by the informant with some ulterior motive and malafide intentions. The whole prosecution story is false, fabricated and concocted.

**COURT OF ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-XIII, PATNA**

**A.B.P. No. 498 of 2026  
(Janipur PS Case No. 11 of 2026)**

Accordingly, prayer has been made to enlarge the petitioners on pre-arrest bail.

**Argument on behalf of State**

4. On the other hand, the learned A.P.P. appearing for the State has opposed the prayer of bail of the petitioners.

**Criminal History**

5. Petitioners have no criminal antecedent.

**Discussion**

6. Heard and perused the case record as well case diary written upto para 28. Both parties are relatives. Allegations levelled against the petitioners are general and omnibus. As per injury report as mentioned in para 25 of the case diary, injury is simple in nature. As per para 21 of the case diary, there is no criminal antecedent against the petitioners.

**Conclusion**

7. Thus, considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, I find that this is a fit case where the petitioners deserve the privilege of anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the anticipatory bail petition of the above named petitioners-accused, **namely; (1) Daya Shankar @ Raushan Kumar and (2) Sonu Kumar @ Rahul Kumar** is hereby **allowed**. They are directed to be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned concerned court in case of their arrest or surrender within a period of a fortnight from the receipt of this order subject to the conditions as laid down u/s.482(2) of BNSS.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Avinash Sharma)

Addl. Sessions Judge – XIII, Patna

01.07.2026