

**IN THE COURT OF THE ADDL. SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE**  
**AT RAMANAGARA**

**O.S.260/2017**

**DATED : THIS THE 05<sup>th</sup> DAY OF NOVEMBER 2018**

**Plaintiffs** : Mayanna and Another

**-V/s-**

**Defendants** : Smt.Ningamma and Others

**ISSUES**

1. Whether the plaintiffs prove that, suit schedule properties are joint family properties of themselves and defendants?
2. Whether the plaintiffs further prove that, item No.2, 4 and 6 of suit schedule properties are purchased out of joint family income?
3. Whether the plaintiffs further prove that, the gift deed dated:10-10-2014 executed by the 2<sup>nd</sup> defendant in favour of the 4<sup>th</sup> defendant is not binding on the plaintiffs?
4. Whether the defendant No.1, 3 and 4 prove that, there was previous partition as contended in written statement?
5. Whether the defendants further prove that, suit is bad for partial partition?

6. Whether the defendants further prove that, suit is bad for non-joinder of necessary parties?
7. Whether the plaintiffs are entitled for declaratory relief as sought for?
8. Whether the plaintiffs are entitled for 1/3<sup>rd</sup> share as sought for?
9. Whether the plaintiffs are entitled for the reliefs as sought for?
10. What Order or Decree?

**(SMT.K.SRIVIDYA)**  
**ADDL. SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE**  
**RAMANAGARA.**