

THE PRL. SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE & CJM, RAMANAGARA.

Dated this the 17th day of March 2017

O.S. 265/2014

Plaintiff : Smt. Puttamma

-Vs-

Defendants : Sri. D.S. Ramachandra & others

I S S U E S

1. Does the plaintiff proves that she the absolute owner having right over the suit schedule property?
2. Does the plaintiff proves that she is in possession and enjoyment of the suit schedule property and there is interference to the same by the defendants?
3. Does the plaintiff proves that the GPA dated 25.10.2005 and the registered deed of sale 22.03.2006 are not binding on her?
4. Whether the defendant No. 3 proves that the suit schedule property is already converted for non agricultural purpose and layout has been formed by carving several residential, commercial and other sites as pleaded?
5. Whether the suit is bad for non joinder of necessary parties/

6. Whether the suit is barred by time as pleaded in para 3 of the written statement filed by defendant No. 3?
7. Whether the suit is properly valued and the court fee paid is sufficient?
8. Whether the plaintiff is entitled for the relief in terms sought?
9. What Order or Decree?

(M ANITHA)
PRL. SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE & CJM,
RAMANAGARA.