



**IN THE COURT OF THE I ADDL. DIST & SESSIONS JUDGE,
RAMANAGARA**

PRESENT:

**Smt. M. Panchakshari, M.Com.,LL.B,
I Addl. Dist. & Sessions Judge, Ramanagara.**

Dated this the 8th day of April 2026

Crl.Misc.No.160/2026

Petitioner/
A4: Sri. Chandrashekar K.N.,
S/o. Nanjappa,
Aged about 72 years,
R/at No.170, Anuchandra,
5th Main Road, West Cord Road.
2nd Phase, Mahalakshmipuram,
Bengaluru North,
Mahalakshmipura Layout,
Bengaluru- 560 086.

Now R/at: No.295/B, KNC Innovative
Gobal School, Hebbal Industrial Area,
Hebbal, Mysuru- 570 016.
(By Sri.P.T., Advocate)

Vs.

Respondent/ : The State of Karnataka,
Complainant By Bidadi Police,
Ramanagara Taluk & District.
(By P.P., Ramanagara)

O R D E R

The petitioner/accused No.4 had filed this petition
U/Sec.482 of BNSS-2023 seeking an anticipatory bail



with respect to Cr.No.130/2025 of Bidadi Police, for the offences punishable under Sec.318(4), 335, 338, 336(3), 336(4), 340, 351(2), 303(2) R/w Sec.3(5) of BNS and Sec.66(C) & 66(D) of Information Technology Act.

2. The petitioner by reiterating the contentions in the first information report has contended that, the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed the alleged offence. The petitioner hails from respectable family and he is law abiding citizen. The petitioner is the only bread earner of his family having wife and children. The complainant on account of enmity and vengeance against petitioner had filed false complaint. In connection to the allegations in the complaint, so far none of the students had lodged any complaint. Petitioner had not taken any fee illegally. Even he had not reset the password nor created it in connection to the E-mail ID of B.G.S. Institute of Nursing as alleged in the complaint. Even they have not stolen ID and password of BGS Nursing College RGUHS University. Even he has not duplicated the letterhead and the signature of the principal. Petitioner had not given any life threat as alleged in the complaint. It is contended by the petitioner, the complainant had given very same type of complaint before Hebbala Police Station of Mysore, which is registered in Crime No.20/2025 and in connection to the said case petition No.1 and 2 had



been granted with anticipatory bail in Crl.Misc. No.801/2025 by the Hon'ble 5th Additional District and Sessions Judges as per order dated 03.06.2025. Petitioner is the permanent resident of the address shown in the cause title, having both movable and immovable properties and there is no chance of absconding or avoiding the trial. So, if at all the petitioner is enlarged on bail he will co-operate with the trial, he will not tamper the prosecution witnesses. The petitioner is ready to offer surety and he is ready to abide by any conditions that would be imposed by this Court. On the above grounds, prayed for allowing the petition.

3. The learned Public Prosecutor had opposed the bail petition and filed objections wherein brief summary of complainant's case had been narrated. It is contended in the objections that, on 07.06.2025 the police had visited the spot and drawn the mahazar in the presence of the panchas. If accused is enlarged on bail, there are every chances of he threatening the complainant and his family members. The petitioner/accused is absconding so, if he is enlarged on bail, he will permanently abscond from the process of the court, even there are chances of he tampering the prosecution witnesses, hampering the investigation and destroy the evidence. The reasons given by the accused is false and created story for the purpose of



obtaining bail. On the above grounds prayed for dismissal of the petition.

4. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner/accused No.4 and also the learned Spl. Public Prosecutor for the State.

5. The following points arise for court consideration;

1) Whether the petitioner/accused No.4 made out sufficient grounds to exercise discretion under section 482 of BNSS, to grant anticipatory bail in his favour?

2) What order?

6. The findings of this court on the above points are as under;

Point No.1:- In the Affirmative.

Point No.2:- As per the final order
for the following;

REASONS

7. **Point No.1**:- On going through the documents produced by the petitioners, it clearly shows that the respondent police have registered the case against the petitioners for the offence punishable under Sec.318(4), 335, 338, 336(3), 336(4), 340, 351(2), 303(2) R/w Sec.3(5) of BNS and Sec.66(C) & 66(D) of



Information Technology Act and the respondent police had taken up the matter for investigation.

8. The allegations in the case is that accused No.1 is the agent obtains admissions for nursing colleges. He is the person known to the father-in-law of the complainant by name Chandrasekhar. It is alleged that one staff by name Ramesh, unauthorisedly lodged into RGUHS University by using the college I.D and Passport. There is allegation that the nursing college is being run without obtaining the permission and the original marks card and the provisional certificate of the students are taken away and this act is committed with an intention to take money by misusing the I.D and the password of the institution run by the complainant.

9. If the contention of the petitioner is taken into consideration who is accused No.4 in this case he had totally denied his involvement in the alleged crime. It is also alleged that on account of vengeance a false complaint is lodged. It is also the contention of the petitioner that so far there is no any complaint by the students. There is no any unauthorised collection of the fee from the students and also there is no creation of any mail I.D., as alleged in the complaint. Even it is alleged that there is no any theft committed in connection to RGUHS University, it is also the



contention of the petitioner that the complainant had also lodged before Hebbala Police Station, Mysuru, which is registered in Crime No.20/2025 and the case is pending before the V Addl. District & Sessions Judge, Mysuru and in the said case bail petition are filed in Crl. Misc.No.801/2025, in which accused No.1 and 2 had been granted anticipatory bail on 03.06.2025. On the other hand, accused No.1 and 2 had also been granted bail by this Court in this case.

10. If the complaint averments are taken into consideration whereby petitioner/accused No.4 is none other than the father-in-law of the complainant. He is now aged 72 years, petitioner had denied all the allegations against him.

11. The petitioner had produced copy of the orders passed by the VII Addl. District & Sessions Judge, Mysuru in Crl. Misc.No.801/2025, in connection to Crime No.20/2025 of Hebbala Police Station, Mysuru. On the other hand, the petitioner had also produced the copy of the orders of this court in Crime No.663/2025, where accused No.1 & 2 had already been granted pre-arrest bail. The offence alleged against the accused, though being non-bailable in nature is neither punishable with death or imprisonment for life. On the other hand, accused No.1 and 2 had already been granted bail. So, taking into



consideration the undertaking of the petitioner as he being the permanent resident of the address shown in the cause title i.e., Mahalaksh mipura Layout, Bengaluru. Hence, taking into consideration the contentions in the petition as the petitioner apprehends his arrest by the respondent police petitioner had sought for his pre-arrest bail. Hence, taking into consideration that the orders in Crl. Misc.No.663/2023, whereby accused No.1 and 2 had already been granted bail and petitioner herein being a senior citizen, who is now aged 72 years. This Court is of the opinion that if reasonable conditions are imposed, it will meet the objections raised by the learned Public Prosecutor. Hence, I hold the above point in Affirmative.

12. **Point No.2:-** In view of the discussions made supra, this court proceeds to pass the following;

ORDER

The bail petition filed by the petitioner/ accused No.4 under section 482 of BNSS-2023 is hereby allowed.

The respondent police is directed to release the petitioner/accused No.4 on bail in the event of his arrest with respect to Crime No.130/2025 of Bidadi Police, petitioner shall execute personal bond for a sum of Rs.1,00,000/- with one surety for likesum to the satisfaction of the Court subject to the following;



CONDITIONS

- 1) The petitioner/accused No.4 shall appear before the Investigating Officer within 10 days from the date of receipt of this order without fail and concerned I.O shall report the same on furnishing their surety in the station.
- 2) The petitioner/accused No.4 shall appear before the I.O on every 2nd and 4th Saturday and co-operate with investigation till completion of investigation.
- 3) The petitioner/accused No.4 shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence directly or indirectly.
- 4) The petitioner/accused No.4 shall appear before Court without fail unless he is exempted for any genuine reason.
- 5) The petitioner/accused No.4 shall not indulge in any such criminal activity.
- 6) The petitioner/accused No.4 shall produce his permanent address proof as well as his Bengaluru address proof.
- 7) The petitioner/accused No.4 shall not leave the abode without prior permission.

(Dictated through Aadalath AI, thereafter transcribed by Stenographer Grade-I and after corrections, printout taken and then pronounced and signed by me in the open Court, on this the 8th day of April 2026)

(M. Panchakshari)
I Addl. Dist. & Sessions Judge,
Ramanagara.
C/c III ADJ., Ramanagara.