

CNR No:PB JL030191102026 CASE No:BA-806-2026

YADWINDER SINGH Vs STATE OF PUNJAB

Present: Sh.Karandeep Saini, Advocate for Accused/applicant.
Ld.APP for the State along-with Sh.Rohit Kapoor, Advocate for complainant.

Heard on bail application filed on behalf of accused/applicant Yadwinder Singh.

Taking this court through the contents of FIR, learned counsel for accused/applicant submits that a civil dispute is being tried to be given as colour of criminal proceedings, because accused/applicant had just put witness on the sale deed executed in favour of Rupinder Kaur, sister of complainant, but there was no dishonest intention or meeting of mind with regard to alleged cheating. He even did not sign the agreement to sell. Accused/applicant is old aged person and suffering from serious ailments. Accused has been in custody since 15.06.2026. He never received any consideration amount, therefore, he should be granted the concession of bail.

On the other hand, learned APP for the State assisted by learned counsel for complainant submits that accused/applicant in association of his co-accused sold immovable property, the title whereof did not vest in accused Sat Pal who is at large and could not be arrested so far. Learned counsel for complainant referred to copy of compromise dated 12.08.2024 wherein accused/applicant admitted his liability, but subsequently backed out therefrom, which fact itself shows that they dishonestly induced complainant party to deliver valuable security in the form of substantial amount. Therefore, bail application should be dismissed.

Having considered the submissions, it is found from the contents of FIR that Sat Pal Singh Johal transferred a plot measuring 9 marla situated at village Chohak to Rupinder Kaur sister of complainant vide registered sale deed bearing Wasika no.7344 dated 23.09.2016, upon which accused/applicant Yadwinder Singh put his witness. Later on this plot was found to be owned by some other person. These allegations

prima facie show that the title of accused Sat Pal was imperfect, but in spite of that he transferred the same to sister of complainant and thus dishonest intention appears to be in existence from the very beginning. According to copy of compromise dated 12.08.2024 accused/applicant Yadwinder Singh also took certain part of sale consideration and thus his role is not limited only to the extent of attestation of sale deed. By virtue of said compromise, he admitted liability to the extent of Rs.23,75,000/- towards complainant party. Although this document is said to be forged and fabricated one, but keeping in view the serious nature of allegations and the fact that co-accused Sat Pal Singh Johal has not been apprehended so far, the concession of bail cannot be granted to accused/applicant at the early stage of investigation. Accordingly, bail application is dismissed.

Soft copy of bail order be sent to Superintendent, Central Jail, Kapurthala through email.

Date of Order: 08.07.2026
*Vidya**

(Ram Pal)
Judicial Magistrate First Class-5,
Jalandhar
UID No . PB00501