

(Witness is present and duly sworn on 14-02-2025)

**Cross examination by: Sri. V.B.R., Advocate for defendant No.11
and 12**

My father's name is Earanna. My paternal grand father is Siddappa. My paternal grand father Siddappa had one son my father Earanna and 3 daughters by name Somamma, Gudadamma and Nagamma, all the daughters died. It is true to suggest that, my brother Basappa was managing the affairs of the family. My father old 20 acres of land. I have shown 20 acres of land as suit land in the plaint. Since I am in my husband's house therefore I do not know how much of the land is shown as suit property in the plaint. Since 50 years I have been residing in my husband's house. It is false to suggest that, I do not know the family affairs of the Basappa. Earappa my father has a house at village Kurkunda. It is true to suggest that, I have not shown house property in the plaint schedule.

2. It is true to suggest that, I have no bondage with my parental family, therefore, I did not show house property in the plaint schedule. My house is situated far away from the house of defendant No.1 and defendant No.8. It is true to suggest that, since, my house is situated far away from the house of defendant No.1 and defendant No.8, therefore, I do not know about the sale of house properties. It is true to suggest that, defendant No.1 and 8 are residing separately. It is true to suggest that, defendant No.1 and 8 have divided between themselves about 45 years ago.

3. It is false to suggest that, Lingamma residing separately. Witness volunteers that, Lingamma residing with my brother Basappa. It is true to suggest that, I have not included the houses of defendant No.1 and 8 as

plaint schedule properties.

4. 30 years ago Basappa was died. It is true to suggest tht, afater death of Basappa, his wife defendant No.1-Hanumamma is managing affairs of the family.

5. Defendant No.1-Hanumamma alienated 1 acres 10 guntas out of suit land in favour of defendant No.11. It is true to suggest that, I do not have personal knowledge about the fact, defendant No.1-Hanumamma alienated 30 guntas of land in favour of defendant No.11 as per Ex.P.25-sale deed. It is true to suggest that, since I have been residing separately after my marriage, therefore, I have no bondage with family of defendants. About 50-60 years ago my marriage was solemnized. It is false to suggest that, I do not know have knowledge of averments of plaint and contents of chief examination affidavit. It is false to suggest that, I do not know have knowledge about the documents produced by me. I cannot depose land survey numbers and there extents. It is false to suggest that, to harass the purchasers defendant No.11 and 12 I have filed the false suit against them. There is loan over the properties of my husband. It is true to suggest that, there is loan over the properties of my brothers Basappa and Siddappa. I do not know to clear of debts my brothers have alienated the suit land to an extent of 1 acre 30 guntas of land out of suit land.

Re-examination:NIL

(Evidence is dictated by me to the typist in open court as stated by witness)

R.O.I & A.C.

Sd/-
Senior Civil Judge & JMFC
Sindhanur.