

(Witness is present and duly sworn on 28-03-2025)

Cross examination by: Sri. V.M.P., Advocate for plaintiff

I have studied upto PUC. Record of rights at Ex.P.27 is standing in the name of husband of plaintiff No.1 Shankar Gouda. Husband of plaintiff No.1 Shankar Gouda died 8 years back. It is true to suggest that, husband of plaintiff No.1 Shankar Gouda was original owner of item No.1 property. I have produced original registered sale deed of my ancestors having purchased land from husband of plaintiff No.1 Shankar Gouda. It is false to suggest that, I am deposing falsely that, I have produced original registered sale deed of my ancestors having purchased land from husband of plaintiff No.1 Shankar Gouda. Paddamma is daughter in law of husband of plaintiff No.1 Shankar Gouda. It is true to suggest that, transfer of property is not made of above said Paddamma to Giriyamma through registered deed. Giriyamma is also daughter in law of husband of plaintiff No.1 Shankar Gouda.

2. I do not know whether Giriyamma while selling survey No.5 has taken consent of plaintiff family and husband of plaintiff No.1 Shankar Gouda or not. It is false to suggest that, I have produced registered documents pertaining to land Sy.No.126. It is false to suggest that, husband of plaintiff No.1 Shankar Gouda is owner and possessor suit land measuring 10 acres. It is false to suggest that, husband of plaintiff No.1 Shankar Gouda was mental unsound and wandering from one village to another village.

3. I do not know how Paddamma acquired land. It is false to suggest that, I am deposing falsely. It is false to suggest that, contents of chief

examination affidavit are false.

Re-examination:NIL

(Evidence is dictated by me to the typist in open court as stated by witness)

R.O.I & A.C.

Sd/-
Senior Civil Judge & JMFC
Sindhanur.