



IN THE COURT OF THE CIVIL JUDGE AND J.M.F.C.
AT MANVI

PRESENT: SRI.ANAND H. KONNUR B.Com. LL.B.,
Civil Judge & J.M.F.C.,
Manvi.

FDP NO.17/2024

Dated this the 04th day of June 2026

PETITIONER:-

Smt. Manamma W/o Late Basavaraja,
Since dead by Lrs.

1(a). Manohara S/o Basavaraja,
Age:45 years, Occ: Agri,
R/o: Adapur pete Manvi,
Tq: Manvi, Dist:Raichur.

1(b). Veerabhadrapa S/o Basavaraja,
Age:45 years, Occ: Agri,
R/o: Kumbar Oni Manvi,
Tq: Manvi, Dist:Raichur.

(By Sri.M.K.P., Advocate)

//Versus//

RESPONDENTS :-

1 Smt. Boodemma W/o Late.Irapanna,
Age:76 years, Occ: Agri,
R/o: Sangapur village, Tq: Manvi.

2. Annamma W/o Veerappa,
Age:53 years, Occ: Agri,



R/o: Sangapur village, Tq: Manvi.

3. Suresha S/o Veerappa,
Age:32 years, Occ: Agri,
R/o: Sangapur village, Tq: Manvi.
4. Umadevi W/o Late. Shankrappa,
Age:50 years, Occ: Agri,
R/o: Ballatagi village, Tq: Manvi.
5. Padmavathi W/o Late. Prabhanna,
Age:48 years, Occ: Agri,
R/o: Ballatagi village, Tq: Manvi.
6. Lalithamma W/o Mounesh,
Age:35 years, Occ: Household,
R/o: Indira Nagar, Sirwara, Tq: Sirwara.
7. Shivappa S/o Basavaraja,
Age:40 years, Occ: Agri,
R/o: Adapur pete Manvi, Tq: Manvi.

(R-1 By Sri.S.T.H.M., Advocate)

(R-7 By Sri.M.V., Advocate)

(R-2, 4, 5 By Sri.S.L., Advocate)

(R-3 By Sri.C.A., Advocate)

(R-6 By Smt.Stella Advocate)

ORDER

This petition is registered suo motto by the court.



2. After registering of this petition, the Taluka Surveyor has been appointed as a court commissioner to make division of suit properties. As per preliminary decree passed in OS No.65/2016 the notice is issued to the commissioner he has filed commissioner report.

3. Accordingly, ADLR has submitted survey report by executing commissioner work by issuing notice to the both parties. He has submitted the report along with the sketches on 19-02-2026. The counsel for the petitioner has submitted no objection to the court commissioner. The respondents have appeared but not filed any objections to the said report. They have submitted no objections to the commissioner report.

4. Heard the arguments of Learned counsel for petitioner and following points would arise for my consideration:

POINTS

1. Whether the Commissioner report deserves to be accepted and a final decree to be drawn in terms of the report?



2. What order?

5. My answer to the above said points are as follows;

Point No.1 : In the Affirmative

Point No.2 : As per final order
for following;

REASONS

6. **Point No.1:-** It is the specific case of petitioner is that, they have filed this suit for partition and separate possession. The said suit is decreed and thereby held that the plaintiff No.1(a), (b) and defendant No.6 & 7 are entitled 1/5th share jointly in the suit schedule property. Respondents have not challenged the said judgment and decree, before the appellate authority or before this court.

7. Further there is no serious dispute with regard to scheme of partition one suggested by Court Commissioner. The Court Commissioner after measuring the land bearing in Sy.No. 63/*/1 measuring 04 acres 03 gunts. The commissioner has submitted the report concerning to with sketch stating that the petitioner and Respondents.



1. Petitioner No.1(a) is entitled 00 acre 33 guntas in block No.1,
2. Petitioner No.1(b) is entitled 00 acre 33 guntas in block No.1,
3. Respondent No.6 is entitled 00 acre 33 guntas in Block No.1,
4. Respondent No.7 is entitled 00 acre 33 guntas in block No.1.
8. On perusal of the Commissioner report and the allotment suggested by him in my considered view, the allotment has been suggested in more practical way, so as to make it possible for petitioner and respondents, to get their shares in the properties.
9. Therefore, if the share one shown under the decree, it satisfies the share one allotted in the preliminary decree and no prejudice would be caused to the parties to the proceedings. Hence the Commissioner report deserves to be accepted. sketch map annexed with the Commissioner reports deserved to be allotted to the petitioners



and respondents towards their share one declared in the preliminary decree. Hence I answer point No.1 in the Affirmative.

10. Point No.2:- In view of my forgoing reasons, I proceed to pass following;

ORDER

This petition suo motto registered by the court is hereby allowed.

There shall be no order as to costs.

The portion marked under the sketch map of the commissioner were allotted shares to the parties as follows:

1. Petitioner No.1(a) is entitled 00 acre 33 guntas in block No.1,
2. Petitioner No.1(b) is entitled 00 acre 33 guntas in block No.1,
3. Respondent No.6 is entitled 00 acre 33 guntas in Block No.1,
4. Respondent No.7 is entitled 00 acre 33 guntas in block No.1.



The sketch map annexed with the Court Commissioner reports shall be treated as part and parcel of final decree.

Draw final decree accordingly.

(Typed to my dictation by the Stenographer, corrected, signed and then pronounced by me in the Open Court on this the 04th day of June-2026).

Civil Judge & J.M.F.C.,
Manvi.