

CNR No. HRRHO3-011078-2022

Ajay Singh vs. Sumit NACT 1212-2022

Present:- Sh. Parveen Attri, Advocate for the complainant.
Accused **No. 2** Kamlesh Kumar son of Sh. Ram Singh being proprietor/authorized signatory of accused No. 1 i.e. Sumit Engineering Works declared as proclaimed person VOD 24.01.2025.

In terms of Section 85 of BNSS, the list of properties of the above named accused/proclaimed person not submitted by the complainant.

2. At this stage, learned counsel for the complainant has suffered a statement that:-

“Stated that at this stage the complainant does not have the details of property of the accused/proclaimed person. On the instructions of complainant, I have no objection if the present complaint be consigned to the record room and the preliminary evidence of the complainant already recorded in this case shall be read as evidence under Section 335 BNSS. The complainant reserve his right to furnish the property details of the accused/proclaimed person, if traced and for restoration of the proceedings under Section 85 of BNSS.”

3. A perusal of file shows that the preliminary evidence of the complainant had been already concluded on 08.07.2022 of the complainant under Section 335 BNSS is hereby closed.

4. Since, the accused had been already declared proclaimed person vide order dated 24.01.2025 and in view of statement of counsel for the complainant of even date, present file shall be consigned to the records with **red-ink note** that the **case-file be not destroyed**, and be restored as and when Accused /proclaimed person named above is apprehended or he surrenders before the court or as and when list of property of the proclaimed person named above furnished by the complainant within the period of six months, for restoration of proceedings under Section 85 of BNSS.

(Mamta)

Judicial Magistrate - Ist Class

Rohtak.UID No. HR0670

Dated: 16.07.2026

monika