

KARC060004952026



IN THE COURT OF III-ADDL. DIST. & SESSIONS JUDGE, RAICHUR, SITTING AT
SINDHANUR .

Crl. Misc. No.5221/2026

PRESENT: Sri. B.B.Jakati
B.A., LL.M.,

III-Addl. Dist. & Sessions Judge, Raichur,
Sitting at Sindhanur.

Dated this the 17th day of June, 2026

PETITIONERS:-

1. Siddalingappa, S/o.Bheemappa, 43 years,
2. Shruthi, W/o.Siddalingappa, 33 years, Household,
3. Nagaratnamma @ Nagamma, W/o.Bheemanna,
66 years, Household,

All are R/o.W.No.3, Near Bus-stand, Maski,
Raichur Dist.

(Accused No.1 to 3 as per FIR)
(By Shri B.M.P., Adv.,)

// Versus //

RESPONDENT:-

The State through SHO,
Masi Police Station, Dist. Raichur.

(Through learned Public Prosecutor)

ORDER

This petition is filed under Section **482 of BNSS** for anticipatory bail in Cr.No.**153/2026** of Maski Police Station which is registered for the offences punishable u/Ss. 115(2), 118(1), 351(2), 352 R/w Sec.3(5) of BNS.

2. The petitioners have contented that, they are innocent of the alleged offences and false case has been foisted against them; there are no reasonable grounds to believe that they are guilty of the offences alleged against them; they are permanently residing in the address mentioned in cause title, they does not have any criminal antecedents; they are ready to co-operate with the investigation and they are ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by the court. On these amongst other grounds shown in the petition they prayed for anticipatory bail.

3. The prosecution in the objection has contended that there are sufficient grounds to believe that petitioners have committed the said offences, if released on bail they may commit similar offences; they may tamper the prosecution witnesses. On these grounds prosecution has prayed to reject the petition.

4. Heard argument of both sides and perused the records.

5. The only point that arises for my consideration is:

Whether the petitioners are entitled for anticipatory bail ?

6. My finding is in the **affirmative** for the following:

REASONS

7. The case of the prosecution, as could be seen from the records, is that the complainant is running a flour mill at Maski, and the house of petitioner/accused No.1 is situated near the said flour mill. Between the flour mill and the house of accused No.1, road excavation work was being carried out by the Government for laying JJM pipelines. On 20.12.2025 at about 9.30 a.m., when the complainant was watching the said work, accused No.1 abused him in filthy language for observing the work. When the complainant requested accused No.1 to speak properly, accused No.1 told him that he was a member of Ward No.7 and that the complainant should concern himself only with matters relating to his own ward and not interfere in that area. In the meantime, accused Nos.2 and 3, who are the wife and mother of accused No.1 respectively, came to the spot, abused the complainant in filthy language, assaulted him with their hands, and accused No.1 kicked him on the left side of his chest and threatened him with dire consequences.

8. The only non-bailable offence alleged in the FIR is under Section 118(1) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, which is not punishable with death or imprisonment for life. The remaining offences are bailable in nature. Further, no

material has been placed on record to indicate the nature or gravity of the injuries allegedly sustained by the complainant. The petitioners are permanent residents within the jurisdiction of this Court and, therefore, their presence can be secured easily for the purpose of investigation and trial. In the facts and circumstances of the case, custodial interrogation of the petitioners does not appear to be necessary. If the petitioners are arrested, they would be subjected to unnecessary humiliation. Therefore, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, I am of the opinion that this is a fit case to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail. Accordingly, I answer the point in the affirmative and proceed to pass the following:

ORDER

The petition filed under Sec.482 of BNSS, is hereby allowed.

The Maski Police is hereby directed to release the petitioners on anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest in Cr.No.**153/2026**, on executing personal bond for a sum of Rs.50,000/- each with one surety for the like-sum, subject to the following conditions;

1. They shall surrender before the concerned court within 15 days from the date of this order and execute personal & surety bonds.
2. They shall furnish ID proof of themselves and surety.

3. They shall co-operate with the investigation.
4. They shall not tamper or threaten the prosecution witnesses.

(Dictated to the Steno Grade-I, transcribed by her, corrected, signed and then pronounced by me in the open court, on this the 17th day of June, 2026.)

(B. B. Jakati)

**III Addl. District & Sessions Judge, Raichur,
Sitting at Sindhanur.**