

KARC060004672026



IN THE COURT OF III-ADDL. DIST. & SESSIONS JUDGE, RAICHUR, SITTING  
AT SINDHANUR.

**Crl. Misc. No.5208/2026**

PRESENT: Sri. B.B.Jakati

B.A., LL.M.,

III-Addl. Dist. & Sessions Judge, Raichur,  
Sitting at Sindhanur.

**Dated this the 10<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2026**

**PETITIONERS:-**

1. Muskan, D/o.Ajmeer @ Muskan, W/o.Md. Azam K., 24 years, Household, R/o.W.No.1, Near Government Urdu School, Kasabavadi, Sindhanur Taluk, Raichur Dist.
2. Hasina Begum Kasab @ Hasina, W/o.Ajmeer, 54 years, Household, R/o. Kasabavadi Galli, W.No.1, Sindhanur Taluk, Raichur Dist.
3. Shaheen @ Shahim Begum, W/o.Ismail Sab, 44 years, Household, R/o.W.No.1, Kasabavadi, Sindhanur Taluk, Raichur Dist.
4. Najmeen @ Naajmeen, W/o.Ashfaq, 44 years, Household, R/o.W.No.1, Kasabavadi, Sindhanur Taluk, Raichur Dist.
5. Rihana, W/o.Yunus @ Reeyana Begum Kasab, W/o.Shakshawali Kasab, 42 years, Household, R/o.W.No.1, Kasabavadi, Sindhanur Taluk, Raichur Dist.

6. Shamima, W/o.Husen @ Shameem, W/o.Hussain Basha, 43 years, Household, R/o.W.No.18, Near Nurani Masidi, S.R.Nagar, Hosapete, Ballari
7. Rukhasana @ Rukasaana Begum, W/o.Ajmir, 39 years, Household, R/o.Near Durgamma Gudi, W.No.5, Maski, Lingasugur Taluk, Raichur Dist.
8. Gousiya, W/o.Sheikh Ahmed, 37 years, Household, R/o.Maheboob Colony, W.No.21, Sindhanur, Sindhanur Taluk, Raichur Dist.
9. Gousi @ Gousiya Begum, W/o.Imamsab, 61 years, Household, R/o.Kasabwadi, W.No.1, Sindhanur Taluk, Raichur Dist.
10. Ajmeer, S/o.Imamsab @ Ajhmeer Kasab, S/o.Imamsab Kasab, 48 years, Business, R/o.Kasabwadi, W.No.1, Sindhanur Taluk, Raichur Dist.
11. Gousiya @ Anjum, W/o.Khaja Hussain, 27 years, Household, R/o.Kasabwadi, W.No.1, Sindhanur Taluk, Raichur Dist.
12. Mustafa, S/o.Ajmeer, 24 years, Business, R/o.Kasabwadi, W.No.1, Sindhanur Taluk, Raichur Dist.
13. Mustakima @ Mustaqeem K., S/o.Ajmeer, 20 years, Business, R/o.Kasabwadi, W.No.1, Sindhanur Taluk, Raichur Dist.
14. Ismail, S/o.Imamsab, 50 years, Business, R/o.Kasabwadi, W.No.1, Sindhanur Taluk, Raichur Dist.
15. Khajashussain @ Khaja Hussain, S/o.Imam Sab, 43 years, R/o.Kasabwadi, W.No.1, Sindhanur Taluk, Raichur Dist.

16. Basha Hussain @ Hussain Basha, S/o.Imamsab, 46 years, Business, R/o.Kasabwadi, W.No.1, Sindhanur Taluk, Raichur Dist.
17. Adam, S/o.Ismaisab @ Adam Shafi, 20 years, Business, R/o.Kasabwadi, W.No.1, Sindhanur Taluk, Raichur Dist.
18. Ashpak @ Ashfak, S/o.Hussainsab, 48 years, Business, R/o.Kasabwadi, W.No.1, Sindhanur Taluk, Raichur Dist.
19. Salma, W/o.Vali @ Salma Begum, W/o.K.Vali Pasha, 38 years, Household, R/o.W.No.5, Killa Area, Gangavathi, Koppal Dist.
20. Vali, S/o.Rajasab @ Vali Pasha, S/o.Rajasab, 43 years, Business, R/o.W.No.5, Killa Area, Gangavathi, Koppal Dist.

(Accused No.1 to 20 as per FIR)  
(By Shri V.G., Adv., )

// **Versus** //

**RESPONDENT/S:-**

The State through SHO, Sindhanur Town  
Police Station, Dist. Raichur.

(Through learned Public Prosecutor)

**ORDER**

This petition is filed under Section 482 of BNSS, for anticipatory bail in Cr.No.**133/2026** of Sindhanur Town Police Station which is registered for the offences punishable under Sections 189(2), 191(2), 115(2), 329(4), 126(2), 133, 74, 351(2), 352 R/w Sec.190 of BNS.

2. The petitioners have contended that they are innocent of the alleged offences and that a false case has been foisted against them; there are no reasonable grounds to believe that they are guilty of the offences alleged against them; they are permanently residing at the address mentioned in the cause title; they do not have any criminal antecedents; they are ready to co-operate with the investigation and are ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by the court. On these, amongst other grounds shown in the petition, they have prayed for anticipatory bail.

3. The prosecution, in its objections, has contended that there are sufficient grounds to believe that the petitioners have committed the said offences; if released on bail, they may commit similar offences; they may not co-operate with the investigation and may tamper with the prosecution witnesses. On these grounds, the prosecution has prayed to reject the petition.

4. Heard argument of both sides and perused the records.

5. The only point that arises for my consideration is:

Whether the petitioners are entitled for anticipatory bail ?

6. My finding is in the affirmative for the following;

### **REASONS**

7. The case of the prosecution, as revealed from the records, is that on

13.04.2026 at about 6:15 p.m., the complainant's daughter, namely Shajiya Begum, was present in her house situated at Kasabvadi, Sindhanur Taluk. It is alleged that accused Nos.1 to 9 and 11 formed an unlawful assembly, trespassed into the house, and closed the door. Accused Nos.1 and 2 allegedly abused Shajiya Begum in filthy language, while accused Nos.2 and 8 called the other accused persons to the spot. It is further alleged that accused No.10 used criminal force against the complainant with an intention to outrage her modesty. Accused Nos.12 and 13 allegedly dragged the hair of the complainant's daughter, tore her mangalya chain, and caused injuries to her neck. When the complainant questioned the conduct of the accused, accused Nos.14, 15, 17, and 18 stated that the complainant's son had married a woman from their family and demanded that he reside separately from his family members and hand over the money earned by him. It is also alleged that accused Nos.2, 3, and 8 tightly held the hands of the complainant; accused No.12 assaulted her with a chappal; accused No.13 pushed her to the ground; and accused Nos.2 and 3 kicked her on the stomach. When the complainant's daughter attempted to rescue her mother, accused Nos.5 to 9 obstructed her, accused No.12 slapped her, and the accused threatened her with dire consequences.

8. It is evident from the records that there exists a family dispute between the complainant and the accused persons. Except the offence punishable under Section 74 of the BNS, the remaining offences alleged against the petitioners are

bailable in nature. The offence under Section 74 of the BNS is not punishable with death or imprisonment for life. The petitioners are permanent residents of the addresses shown in the cause title, and therefore their presence can be secured for the purpose of investigation as well as trial. Whether the petitioners have actually committed the alleged offences is a matter to be adjudicated during the course of trial on the basis of evidence. Petitioner Nos.1 to 9, 11, and 19 are women. The custodial interrogation of the petitioners does not appear to be necessary for the purpose of investigation. If the petitioners are arrested, they would be subjected to unnecessary humiliation. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, I am of the considered opinion that the petitioners are entitled to the relief of anticipatory bail. Accordingly, I answer the point under consideration in the affirmative and proceed to pass the following:

### **ORDER**

The petition filed under Sec.482 of BNSS, is hereby allowed.

The Sindhanur Town Police is hereby directed to release the petitioners on anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest in Cr.No.**133/2026**, on executing personal bonds for a sum of Rs.50,000/- each with one surety for the like-sum, subject to the following conditions;

1. They shall surrender before the concerned court within 15 days from the date of this order and execute personal & surety bonds.

2. They shall furnish ID proof of themselves and surety.
3. They shall co-operate with the investigation.
4. They shall not tamper or threaten the prosecution witnesses.

(Dictated to the Steno Grade-I, typed by her in the computer, corrected, signed and then pronounced by me in the open court, on this the 10<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2026.)

**(B. B. Jakati)**

III Addl. District & Sessions Judge,  
Raichur, Sitting at Sindhanur.