

KARC060002482026



**IN THE COURT OF III-ADDL. DIST. & SESSIONS JUDGE, RAICHUR, SITTING AT
SINDHANUR .**

Crl. Misc. No.5114/2026

PRESENT: Sri. B.B.Jakati

B.A., LL.M.,

III-Addl. Dist. & Sessions Judge, Raichur,
Sitting at Sindhanur.

Dated this the 2nd day of April, 2026

PETITIONERS/ACCUSED:-

1. Malingaraya, S/o.Ambadeppa Konad, 30 years,
Agriculture, R/o.Sukalpet, Tq.Sindhanur,
Dist.Raichur.
2. Koshchanthi Eranna, S/o.Hanumayya, 25 years,
Agriculture, R/o.Olaballai, Tq.Sindhanur,
Dist.Raichur.

(Accused No.1 and 2 as per FIR)
(By Shri G.S., Adv.,)

// Versus //

RESPONDENT:-

The State through SHO, Sindhanur Rural Police
Station, Dist. Raichur.

(Through learned Public Prosecutor)

ORDER

This petition is filed under Section **482 of BNSS** for anticipatory bail in

Cr.No.17/2026 of **Sindhanur Rural** Police Station which is registered for the offences punishable u/Ss.352, 126(2), 132, 221, 224, 351(2) R/w Sec.3(5) of BNS.

2. The gist of the complaint is that the complainant/K.Gururaj was Hone Guard and working in Sindhanur Rural police station. On 8.1.2026 he was deputed to Olaballari village to watch illegal transport ion of sand. On 8.1.2026 at about 3.15 p.m., the Tipper and JCB were passing and he asked the drivers to stop the vehicles. Therefore, the accused being the drivers of the vehicles came down and assaulted the complainant. Accused No.1 and 2 obstructed the official duties of the complainant.

3. The prosecution has filed objection to the bail petition.

4. Heard the argument of both sides and perused the records.

5. The only point that arises for my consideration is;

Whether the petitioners are entitled for anticipatory bail ?

6. My finding is in the affirmative for the following:

REASONS

7. At the outset, it is to be noted that excluding the offence u/S.132 of BNS, the other offences are bailable in nature. The said offence is not punishable with death or imprisonment for life. The offences are triable by the Magistrate.

8. The custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required. The petitioners are residing within the jurisdiction of this court and therefore, their presence can be secured easily for the purpose of investigation and trial. If the petitioners are arrested, they would be humiliated. Therefore, the benefit of anticipatory bail has to be extended to the petitioners. Accordingly, I answer this point in the affirmative and proceed to pass the following;

ORDER

The petition filed **u/S.482 of BNSS**, is hereby allowed.

The Sindhanur Rural Police is hereby directed to release the petitioners on anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest in Cr.No.**17/2026**, on executing personal bond for a sum of Rs.50,000/- each with one surety for the like-sum, subject to the following conditions;

1. They shall surrender before the concerned court within 15 days from the date of this order and execute personal & surety bonds.
2. They shall furnish ID proof of themselves and surety.
3. They shall co-operate with investigation.
4. They shall not tamper or threaten the prosecution witnesses.

(Dictated to the Steno Grade-I, transcribed by her, corrected, signed and then pronounced by me in the open court, on this the 2nd day of April, 2026.)

(B. B. Jakati)

**III Addl. District & Sessions Judge, Raichur,
Sitting at Sindhanur.**