

KARC060002452026



**IN THE COURT OF III-ADDL. DIST. & SESSIONS JUDGE, RAICHUR, SITTING AT  
SINDHANUR .**

**Crl. Misc. No.5113/2026**

PRESENT: Sri. B.B.Jakati  
B.A., LL.M.,

III-Addl. Dist. & Sessions Judge, Raichur,  
Sitting at Sindhanur.

**Dated this the 2<sup>nd</sup> day of April, 2026**

**PETITIONER/S:-**

1. Nagaraja, S/o.Basanna Hugar, 32 years, Agriculture, R/o.Gdihal village, Benchamaradi, Maski taluk, Raichur Dist.
2. Smt.Mallamma, W/o.Basanna Hugar, 57 years, Household, R/o.Gdihal village, Benchamaradi, Maski taluk, Raichur Dist.
3. Smt.Roopa @ Vijayalaxmi Hugar, W/o.Mallikarjun, 38 years, Household, R/o.Alampur Peth, W.No.7, Ilkal, Ilkal Rual, Ilkal Taluk, Baglkot Dist.
4. Mallikarjun Hugar, S/o.Ramanna, 40 years, Agriculture, R/o.Alampur Peth, W.No.7, Ilkal, Ilkal Rual, Ilkal Taluk, Baglkot Dist.

(Accused No.1 to 4 as per FIR)  
(By Shri Hulleish, Adv., )

**// Versus //**

**RESPONDENT:-**

The State through SHO, Kavithal Police Station,  
Dist. Raichur.

(Through learned Public Prosecutor)

**ORDER**

This petition is filed under Section **482 of BNSS** for anticipatory bail in Cr.No.**32/2026** of **Kavithal** Police Station which is registered for the offences punishable u/Ss.9, 10 and 11 of Child Marriage Restraint Act.

2. It is the allegation of the prosecution that petitioner No.1 is the son of petitioner No.2 and brother of petitioner No.3. Petitioner No.4 is the husband of petitioner No.3. Petitioner No.1 has contracted child marriage on 11.2.2026. Petitioner No.2 to 4 have participated and helped accused No.1 for contracting child marriage. The information of child marriage was given by the public servant to the police on 9.3.2026 and therefore, FIR was registered for the above said offences.

3. The prosecution has filed objection to the bail petition.

4. Heard the argument of both sides and perused the records.

5. The only point that arises for my consideration is;

Whether the petitioners are entitled for anticipatory bail ?

6. My finding is in the affirmative for the following:

**REASONS**

7. The allegations in the complaint shows that by contracting child marriage, petitioner No.1 has committed the offence u/S.9 of the Child Marriage Restraint Act. The allegations against petitioner No.2 to 4 attracts the offence u/S.10 of the Child Marriage Restraint Act. Both offences are not punishable with death or imprisonment for life. Whether petitioner No.2 to 4 have really participated in the marriage is to be testified during the trial. For the investigation, the custodial interrogation of the petitioners may not be required. If they are arrested, they would be humiliated. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, I am of the opinion, that it is a fit case to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, I answer this point in the affirmative and proceed to pass the following;

**ORDER**

The petition filed **u/S.482 of BNSS**, is hereby allowed.

The Kavithal Police is hereby directed to release the petitioners on anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest in Cr.No.**32/2026**, on executing personal bond for a sum of Rs.50,000/- each with one surety for the like-sum, subject to the following conditions;

1. They shall surrender before the concerned court within 15 days from the date of this order and execute personal & surety bonds.

2. They shall furnish ID proof of themselves and surety.
3. They shall co-operate with investigation.
4. They shall not tamper or threaten the prosecution witnesses.

(Dictated to the Steno Grade-I, transcribed by her, corrected, signed and then pronounced by me in the open court, on this the 2<sup>nd</sup> day of April, 2026.)

**(B. B. Jakati)**

**III Addl. District & Sessions Judge, Raichur,  
Sitting at Sindhanur.**