

KARC060002322026



IN THE COURT OF III-ADDL. DIST. & SESSIONS JUDGE, RAICHUR, SITTING AT
SINDHANUR.

Crl. Misc. No.5105/2026

PRESENT: Sri. B.B.Jakati
B.A., LL.M.,

III-Addl. Dist. & Sessions Judge, Raichur,
Sitting at Sindhanur.

Dated this the 16th day of April, 2026

PETITIONERS:-

1. Devendrappa, S/o.Venkoba Mastar, 46 years, Agriculture, R/o.Ginivara village, Sindhanur Taluk, Rachur Dist.
2. Vithoba, S/o.Lankappa, 40 years, Agriculture, R/o.Basapur E.J., village, Sindhanur Taluk, Rachur Dist.
3. Nagaraj @ Nagappa, S/o.Lankeppa, 45 years, R/o.Basapur E.J., village, Sindhanur Taluk, Rachur Dist.
4. Smt.Muddamma, W/o.Yankoba, 63 years, Agriculture, R/o.Ginivara village, Sindhanur Taluk, Rachur Dist.
5. Smt.Amaramma @ Ambramma, W/o.Basavaraj, 40 years, Agriculture, R/o.Ginivara village, Sindhanur Taluk, Rachur Dist.
6. Smt.Huligemma @ Devamma, W/o.Devendrappa, 40 years, Agriculture, R/o.Ginivara village, Sindhanur Taluk, Rachur Dist.

7. Smt.Channamma, W/o.Narayanappa, 33 years, Agriculture, R/o.Ginivara village, Sindhanur Taluk, Rachur Dist.
8. Smt.Renukamma, W/o.Nagaraj, 35 years, Agriculture, R/o.Ginivara village, Sindhanur Taluk, Rachur Dist.
9. Lankeppa, 66 years, Agriculture, R/o.Ginivara village, Sindhanur Taluk, Rachur Dist.

(Accused No.1 and 3 to 10 as per charge sheet)
(By Shri K.B.G., Adv.,)

// Versus //

RESPONDENT:-

The State through PSI, Sindhanur Rural Police Station, Dist. Raichur.

(Through learned Public Prosecutor)

ORDER

This petition is filed under Section 482 of BNSS for anticipatory bail in Cr.No.**119/2024** in (CC No.857/2025) of Sindhanur Rural Police Station which is registered for the offences punishable u/Ss.143, 147, 148, 447, 323, 324, 354, 355, 504, 506 R/w Section 149 of IPC.

2. The petitioners have contented that, they are innocent of the alleged offences and false case has been foisted against them; there are no reasonable grounds to believe that they are guilty of the offences alleged against them; they are permanently residing in the address mentioned in cause title, they does not have any criminal

antecedents; they are ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by the court. On these amongst other grounds shown in the petition they prayed for anticipatory bail.

3. The prosecution in the objection has contended that there are sufficient grounds to believe that petitioners have committed the said offences, if released on bail they may commit similar offences; they may not co-operate with investigation and tamper the prosecution witnesses. On these grounds prosecution has prayed to reject the petition.

4. Heard argument of both sides and perused the records.

5. The only point that arises for my consideration is:

Whether the petitioners are entitled for anticipatory bail ?

6. My finding is in the affirmative for the following:

REASONS

7. The case of the prosecution as could be seen from the records is that CW.9/Sanna Ramesh and 10/Yankoba are the brothers and accused No.1 and 2 are also their relatives. The land bearing Sy.No.95/*/* measuring 28 guntas was standing in the name of CW.10 and it was given to CW.8 as it is ancestral property. Accused No.1 and 2 used to quarrel with CW.9 in respect of the said land by demanding their share. It is alleged that on 20.6.2024 at about

3.00 p.m., when the complainant by name Renukamma and her son, Akash were cleaning the said land, all the accused by forming unlawful assembly, tress-passed in the said land, abused the complainant in filthy language and told that they will kill them. Thereafter, accused No.1 voluntarily caused hurt to Akash with club. When the complainant went to rescue her son, Akash, the accused have used criminal force against her with an intention to outrage her modesty, voluntarily caused hurt with hands and chappal. When CW.3/Mudiyamma and CW.4/Mahadevi intervened to rescue the complainant, the accused have also assaulted them with hands and threatened CW.1 and 2.

8. There is land dispute between the complainant and the accused. Due to that dispute, the case was registered. There are no medical records to show the nature of the injuries sustained by the victims. Excluding the offences u/S.447 and 354 of IPC, other offences are bailable in nature. The said offences are exclusively not punishable with death or imprisonment for life. The charge sheet was filed and hence, the custodial interrogation of the petitioners may not be required. Whether the petitioners have committed the offence is to be testified during the trial. Petitioner No.4 to 8 are women and petitioner No.5 and 9 are aged more than 60 years. If the petitioners are arrested, they would be humiliated. Therefore, the petitioners are to be released on anticipatory bail. Accordingly, I answer this point in the affirmative and proceed to pass the following;

ORDER

The petition filed under Sec.482 of BNSS, is hereby allowed.

The Sindhanur Rural Police is hereby directed to release the petitioners on anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest in Cr.No.**119/2024** on executing personal bond for a sum of Rs.50,000/- each with one surety for the like-sum, subject to the following conditions;

1. They shall surrender before the concerned court within 15 days from the date of this order and execute personal & surety bonds.
2. They shall furnish ID proof of themselves and surety.
3. They shall not tamper or threaten the prosecution witnesses.

(Dictated to the Steno Grade-I, transcribed by her, corrected, signed and then pronounced by me in the open court, on this the 16th day of April, 2026.)

(B. B. Jakati)

**III Addl. District & Sessions Judge, Raichur,
Sitting at Sindhanur.**