

KARC060002172026



**IN THE COURT OF III-ADDL. DIST. & SESSIONS JUDGE, RAICHUR, SITTING AT
SINDHANUR.**

Crl. Misc. No.5096/2026

PRESENT: Sri. B.B.Jakati
B.A., LL.M.,

III-Addl. Dist. & Sessions Judge, Raichur,
Sitting at Sindhanur.

Dated this the 26th day of March, 2026

PETITIONER:-

1. Veeresh, S/o.Shankrappa, 33 years, Agriculture,
R/o.Budivala village, Sindhanur Taluk, Raichur
Dist.
2. Ramalinga @ Ramakrishna, S/o.Hulugappa, 18
years, Coolie, R/o.Agasanuru village, Sindhanur
Taluk, Raichur Dist.

(Accused No.1 & 2 as per FIR)
(By Shri R.M., Adv.,)

// Versus //

RESPONDENT:-

The State through SHO, Sindhanur Rural police
station, Dist. Raichur.

(Through learned Public Prosecutor)

ORDER

The petitioners who are in judicial custody have filed petition under Section
483 of BNSS in connection with case in Cr.No.57/2026 registered by Sindhanur

Rural police for the offences punishable under Sections 109(1), 115(2), 118(2), 351(2), 352, 3(5) of BNS.

2. The gist of the complaint is that accused No.1/Veeresh suspecting that the victim/Dayanand had illicit relationship with his wife. Therefore, on 7.2.2026 at about 9.00 a.m., accused No.1 called Dayanand for a party. Hence, Dayanand went to Dadesugur village to attend the party. Accused No.2/Ramalinga and accused No.3/Nagaraj were present along with accused No.1 in the place of party. All the accused and the victim consumed alcohol. The accused administered alcohol to Dayanand intentionally in order to kill him. Thereafter, the accused have picked up quarrel with Dayanand and accused No.2 gave blow on the head of Dayanand with beer bottle and caused injury. Thereafter, accused No.1 and 3 pushed Dayanand and hence, he fell down. Thereafter, accused No.1 and 3 have pressed the neck of Dayanand with an intention to commit his murder. Dayanand escaped from the hands of the accused and at that time, accused No.2 thrown stone, which hit to the head of Dayanand. The victim reached Dadesugur village and unknown persons shifted him to the hospital and provided treatment. The information of this incident was given to the mother of Dayanand and she went to the hospital and then filed the complaint. After registration of the FIR, the police arrested accused No.1 and 2 on 8.2.2026. Both of them have filed the present petition for regular bail.

3. The prosecution has filed objection to the bail petition.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners has argued that the victim has not sustained any grievous injuries. He has been discharged from the hospital. The allegations in the complaint does not show prima-facie case against the petitioners for the offence u/S.109 of BNS. The further detention of the petitioners in judicial custody is not required. The petitioners are ready to co-

operate with the investigation and appear before the court regularly during the trial.

5. The learned Public Prosecutor has argued that two cases have been registered against the petitioners for the offence u/S.326(f) of BNS. This is the third case registered against the petitioners. Recently, the victim has been discharged from the hospital. He is under medication. If the petitioners are released on bai, they may repeat the offence and threaten the prosecution witnesses.

6. I have carefully perused the records.

7. The only point that arises for my consideration is;

“Whether the petitioners are entitled for regular bail?

8. My finding is in the negative for the following;

:: REASONS ::

9. The allegations in the complaint shows that accused No.1 had suspicion that the victim was having illicit relationship with his wife. Therefore, he has made preparation to call the victim to Dadesugur village. He has contacted accused No.2 and 3 and planned to secure the presence of the victim. As per his plan, the accused have secured the presence of the victim in Dadesugur village in an isolated place. The petitioners have administered alcohol to the victim. Thereafter, accused No.2/Ramalinga gave blow to the head of the victim with beer bottle. The remaining accused pressed the neck of the victim. When the victim escaped from the hands of the petitioners, accused No.2 thrown the stone which hit to the head of the victim. The victim sustained grievous injury to his head. He was admitted in the hospital and recently discharged. These allegations shows that the victim has sustained grievous injuries. The allegations

in the complaint further shows that there is prima-facie case against the petitioners for the offence u/S.109 of BNS, which is punishable with imprisonment for life.

10. It is to be noted that Cr.No.10/2026 is registered against the petitioners for the offence u/S.326(f) of BNS in Chincholi police station. Another case in Cr.No.74/2026 for the similar offence was registered against the petitioners in Sindhanur Rural police station. In both cases, there is allegation that the accused have set fire the vehicles of unknown persons without any reason. The registration of these cases shows that the petitioners are having criminal background.

11. The investigation is under progress. The victim is taking treatment. Under these circumstances, if the petitioners are released on bail, they may repeat the offence. They may attack the victim. They may tamper the prosecution witnesses. They may not appear before the court regularly. It is significant to note that another accused is absconding. The Investigation Officer is required to arrest other accused. Therefore, I am of the opinion, that it is not a fit case to grant bail to the petitioners at this stage. Accordingly, I answer this point in the negative and proceed to pass the following;

ORDER

The bail petition filed u/S.483 of BNSS, is hereby rejected.

(Dictated to the Steno Grade-I, transcribed by her, corrected, signed and then pronounced by me in the open court, on this the 26th day of March, 2026.)

(B. B. Jakati)

III Addl. District & Sessions Judge,
Raichur, Sitting at Sindhanur.