

KARC060002092026



IN THE COURT OF III-ADDL. DIST. & SESSIONS JUDGE, RAICHUR, SITTING
AT SINDHANUR.

Crl. Misc. No.5088/2026

PRESENT: Sri. B.B.Jakati

B.A., LL.M.,

III-Addl. Dist. & Sessions Judge, Raichur,
Sitting at Sindhanur.

Dated this the 26th day of March, 2026

PETITIONERS:-

1. Ramanna, S/o.Yamanappa, 47 years,
Agriculture, R/o.Nanjaladinni, Maski Taluk,
Raichur Dist.
2. Veeresh @ Erappa, S/o.Yankappa, 39 years,
Agriculture, R/o.Gudagaladinni, Maski Taluk,
Raichur Dist.
3. Aanand, S/o.Yankappa, 38 years, Agriculture,
R/o.Nanjaladinni, Maski Taluk, Raichur Dist.
4. Devaraj, S/o.Tayappa, 40 years, Agriculture,
R/o.Nanjaladinni, Maski Taluk, Raichur Dist.
5. Hanumamma, W/o.Ramanna, 45 years,
Household, R/o.Nanjaladinni, Maski Taluk,
Raichur Dist.
6. Mounesh, S/o.Ramanna, 36 R/o.Nanjaladinni,
Maski Taluk, Raichur Dist.

(Accused No.1 to 6 as per FIR)

(By Shri L.N., Adv.,)

// **Versus** //

RESPONDENTS:-

The State through SHO, Balaganur Police
Station, Dist. Raichur.

(Through learned Public Prosecutor)

ORDER

This petition is filed under Section 482 of BNSS, for anticipatory bail in Cr.No.**24/2026** of Balaganur Police Station which is registered for the offences punishable under Sections 189(2), 191(2), 191(3), 115(2), 118(1), 329(3), 74, 133, 352, 351(2) R/w Sec.190 of BNS.

2. The petitioners have contented that, they are innocent of the alleged offences and false case has been foisted against them; there are no reasonable grounds to believe that they are guilty of the offences alleged against them; they are permanently residing in the address mentioned in cause title, they do not have any criminal antecedents; they are ready to co-operate with the investigation and ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by the court. On these amongst other grounds shown in the petition they prayed for anticipatory bail.

3. The prosecution in the objection has contented that there are sufficient grounds to believe that petitioners have committed the said offences, if released on bail they may commit similar offences; they may not co-operate with the

investigation and they may tamper the prosecution witnesses. On these grounds prosecution has prayed to reject the petition.

4. Heard argument of both sides and perused the records.

5. The only point that arises for my consideration is:

Whether the petitioners are entitled for anticipatory bail ?

6. My finding is in the affirmative for the following;

REASONS

7. The case of the prosecution as could be seen from the records is that there is enmity between accused No.1 and the complainant in respect of civil dispute. It is alleged that on 29.1.2026 at about 8.50 p.m., when the complainant was in her house situated at Nanjaladinni village, Maski Taluk, accused No.1 to 6 came by forming unlawful assembly by holding axe, iron rod and chilly powder. The accused called Amaregouda who is the brother of the complainant with an intention to voluntarily caused hurt. When the complainant told the accused that he is not in the house, at that time, accused tress-passed in the house, accused No.2 voluntarily caused hurt to him with iron rod, accused No.5 thrown chilly powder on the face of the complainant and Amaregouda, accused No.3 and 6 voluntarily caused hurt to Amaregouda with stones. When the complainant intervened to rescue Amaregouda, accused No.1 pressed her neck, made her to fall on the ground. It is alleged that accused No.3 used criminal force against the complainant with an intention to outrage her modesty . Accused No.5 assaulted

the complainant with chappal and all the accused threatened them.

8. There is civil dispute between the complainant and accused in respect of site. Due to that, the present case has been registered. Excluding the offences u/Ss.74 and 118(1) of BNS, other offences are bailable in nature. The said offences are not punishable with death or imprisonment for life. The prosecution has not produced wound certificates of the complainant and Amaregouda to see the nature of the injuries sustained by them. The petitioners are having permanent residence. Their presence can be secured easily for the purpose of investigation and trial. Whether the petitioners have committed the offence is to be testified during the trial. The custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required. If the petitioners are arrested, they would be humiliated. Therefore, the petitioners are to be released on anticipatory bail. Accordingly, I answer this point in the affirmative and proceed to pass the following;

ORDER

The petition filed under Sec.482 of BNSS, is hereby allowed.

The Balaganur Police is hereby directed to release the petitioners on anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest in Cr.No.24/2026, on executing personal bonds for a sum of Rs.50,000/- each with one surety for the like-sum, subject to the following conditions;

1. They shall surrender before the concerned court within 15 days from the date of this order and execute personal & surety bonds.
2. They shall furnish ID proof of themselves and surety.
3. They shall co-operate with the investigation.
4. They shall not tamper or threaten the prosecution witnesses.

(Dictated to the Steno Grade-I, typed by her in the computer, corrected, signed and then pronounced by me in the open court, on this the 26th day of March, 2026.)

(B. B. Jakati)

III Addl. District & Sessions Judge,
Raichur, Sitting at Sindhanur.

