

Duly sworn on
Date: 06-12-2025

**Cross Examination of PW 1 : by Smt. NB counsel for
the defendant :-**

About more than 40 years we have come to Raichur. We are the permanent native of Guledgudda village. My husband was working as a driver at KPC. My husband died about 30 years back. I am receiving pension of my husband of a sum of Rs. 2500 per month. I have got two sons. Plaintiff No.2 Channayya is my son and there is another son by name Sangamesh. Plaintiff No.2 is working as a Engineer at KPC. Jyoti defendant is my daughter in law. We have performed the marriage of plaintiff No.2 with defendant. It is false to suggest that, an amount of Rs.5 lakhs, 8 tolas of gold, 1kg of silver has been given in the form of Dowry at the time of marriage of defendant with plaintiff No.2. It is false to suggest that, defendant has left the 5 lakhs rupees, 8 tolas of gold , 1kg of silver in my house. It is false to suggest that, after the marriage of the defendant with plaintiff No.2 she was with plaintiff No.2 for a period of 1 year 2 months, witness volunteers that, only 3 months defendant has stayed with plaintiff No.2. It is false to suggest that, I and plaintiff No.2 have demanded more dowry to bring from the parents house of the defendant. It is false to suggest that, often and often I used to give torture to the defendant saying that, I will marry another girl to the plaintiff No.2. It is false to suggest that, I always used to compare the female friends of the plaintiff No.2 and used to give torture to defendant. The father of the defendant was an cloth business man. It is false to suggest that, I used to give mental torture to defendant saying to bring the sarees from the shop of the father of the

defendant and sale the same. It is false to suggest that, we the plaintiffs have given more torture to defendant within one year of marriage. It is true to suggest that, I have stated that, quarrel has taken place with the defendant on 23-05-2015. It is false to suggest that, on 23.05.2015 we have given the physical and mental torture to the defendant and driven away the defendant from our house. It is false to suggest that, defendant was carrying two months baby at the time of driving of defendant from our house. It is false to suggest that, defendant has given complaint against us at Shakti Nagar police station on 23.05.2015 for the offence punishable U/sec. 498(A), 323, 504, 506 and 34 of IPC. It is false to suggest that, quarrel has taken place at 9.00 AM on 23.05.2015 and complaint is lodged by defendant at 12.00 PM on 23.05.2015. It is false to suggest that, we have called Panchayati at Belur village and invited to the parents of the defendant. It is false to suggest that, plaintiff No.2 has assured to defendant to continue the Marital relationship with defendant, hence the above said criminal case No. 67/2016 on the file of this court has been compromised. It is false to suggest that, in view of compromise of defendant with us in criminal case No. 67/2016, hence I and plaintiff No.2 have been acquitted in the above case. It is false to suggest that, defendant is not adamant in nature. It is false to suggest that, for causing mental, physical harassment the above suit is filed. It is false to suggest that, defendant has filed a false complaint against we the plaintiffs. It is false to suggest that, in view of giving of physical and mental torture by us, defendant has lodged criminal complaint against us before Shakti Nagar P.S. It is false to suggest that, defendant has never return the

word “ I do not want Channayya”, “ I hate Channayya”, “I kill Channayya”. It is false to suggest that, the above said fact is created by me and my son plaintiff No.2. It is false to suggest that, we have not at all given an amount of Rs.10 lakhs to father of defendant. I have not produced document before this court showing that , we have given an amount of Rs. 10 lakhs to defendant’s father. It is false to suggest that, plaintiff No.2 has been indebted to many person because of his personal habits and not due to lending of money to father of defendant. It is false to suggest that, defendant is not working at Q-nett company. It is false to suggest that, defendant has studied only up to PUC 2nd year and she will not get any job. It is false to suggest that, defendant is ready to join the company of plaintiff No.2 for the sake of her son, witness volunteers that, she will not take back her. It is false to suggest that, there is no any damages of Rs. 29 lakhs caused to us. It is false to suggest that, to harass the defendant, we have filed false case against defendant. It is false to suggest that, to take back the cases filed at Badami Court, the present case is filed by us against the defendant. It is false to suggest that, I have filed a false case and deposing falsely before this court.

Further cross examination of PW 1: NIL.

(Typed by Typist in Open Court as per my dictation)

Prl. Sr.C.J. & CJM, Raichur