

Duly sworn on

Date: 21-01-2025**Cross Examination of PW 2 : by Sri. CP counsel for the defendant :-**

It is false to suggest that, I am permanent residence of Alkur. It is true to suggest that, my brothers reside at Alkur village. It is false to suggest that, I have born and brought up at Alkur village. It is false to suggest that, after leaving Alkur village now I have started at reside at Maliyabad village. It is false to suggest that, due to the love affair of my brother we have left the village of Alkur and now residing at Aashapur village. It is false to suggest that, since from the last 10-15 years I have been residing at Aashapur village. It is false to suggest that, I have not seen Tayappa, Dyanialappa, Mobbu Hanumayya @ M.Hanumayya, Nagappa and Huligappa. The above said persons are dead. Dyanial died about 20-25 years back. I do not know when Tayappa died. Above 30 years back Mobbu Hanumayya died. I do not know when Nagappa and Huligappa died. I have seen the suit land. I cannot say the boundaries of the suit land. Previously the suit land was an government land. Later on it was given to Dyanilappa due to rendering of services in Military. I do not know during which year the above said grant was made to Dyanialappa. It is true to suggest that, I go to Church. It is false to suggest that, the members of the Dodda Kariyappa family also come to Church.

It is false to suggest that, Dyanielappa is a Christian. It is true to suggest that, defendant is a Christian, witness says that, he is a converted Christian. It is false to suggest that, Dyanielappa has sold out 5 acres of suit land in favour of defendant. It is false to suggest that, 3 acres has been sold by Dyanielappa in favour of defendant by way of registered sale deed and another one acre of land through unregistered document in favour of defendant and another one acre of suit land has been sold out by wife of Dyanielappa in favour of defendant. I do not know read and write. I can sign. I know the contents of my affidavit. It is false to suggest that, during the year 1972-73 by way of registered sale deed 3 acres of the suit land has been sold out by Dyanielappa in favour of defendant. Now I am aged 56 years. I do not know if it is suggested to me that, about 52 years back Dyanielappa has sold out 3 acres of suit land by way of registered sale deed in favour of defendant. It is false to suggest that, Bheemakka has sold out 1 acre of suit land before 35 years back in favour of defendant. It is false to suggest that, I am deposing falsely before this court saying that Dyaniel and his wife has not sold out 3 acres and 1 acre of suit land in favour of defendant. It is false to suggest that, only upon the say of the plaintiffs I am deposing before this court though I do not know any fact with respect to the present case since I am residing in different village. It is false to suggest that, the suit land to the extent of 5 acres has been sold out by

the Dyanilappa and his wife in favour of defendant and the name of the defendant is entered in the RTC extract and defendant is in possession over the suit land. I do not know the filing of the case by the defendant against Dyanielappa. It is false to suggest that, I do not know the family of the plaintiffs and also with regard to the suit land and only upon the say of the plaintiffs and I am deposing falsely before this court. It is false to suggest that, I am resident of Alkur village and the suit land comes within the jurisdiction of Aashapur village and I do not know any fact with regard to the suit land.

Further cross examination of PW 2: NIL.

(Typed by Typist in Open Court as per my dictation)

Prl. Sr.C.J. & CJM, Raichur