

Duly sworn on
Date: 21-01-2026

Further Cross Examination of PW 1 : by Sri. IMP
counsel for the defendant No. 1 to 3:-

I do not know the order copy which is produced by me. Now Ex P.94 is shown to the witness, I have objected to the proceedings of Ex P.94 since my brother's son have mutated the lands in their names without bringing to my knowledge. It is false to suggest that, before passing orders on Ex P.94 notice was sent to me. It is false to suggest that, after issuance of notice only we have filed objections before Nada Tahasildar, witness volunteers that, he came to know only when he came before the court. If it is suggested to me that, I have not filed objections to the mutation order passed during the year 1992 and 1995, witness volunteers that, he recently came to know. About 1 year back I came to know the mutation order passed during the 1995. if it is suggested to me that, in land bearing Sy No.47 to the extent of 3 acres is transferred to my name and another 3 acres is transferred to the name of plaintiff No.2 as per Ex P.11, witness volunteers that, he does not know. I do not know the document marked at Ex P.10. I do not know the document produced and marked at Ex P.1 to 94. I have not obtained any loan. I do not know if it is suggested to me that, an amount of Rs.8,50,000/- loan is obtained by

me and my brother on suit Sl No.1 land. I do not know if it is suggested to me that, an amount of Rs.7,69,000/- loan is obtained by me from ICICI Bank as per Ex P.10. I do not know if it is suggested to me that, I have obtained the loan from the Bank as per Ex P.12 to 21. I do not know if it is suggested to me that, I have obtained the loan from the Bank as per Ex P.28 to 40. I do not know if it is suggested to me that, I have obtained the loan from the Bank as per Ex P.47 to 59. I do not know if it is suggested to me that, I have obtained the loan from the Bank as per Ex P.65 to 77. I do not know if it is suggested to me that, I have obtained the loan from the Bank as per Ex P.82 to 93. I am cultivating all the lands. I am cultivating land bearing Sy No.47 to the extent of 15 acres. I am cultivating land bearing Sy No.49 to the extent of 3 acres 20 guntas. I am cultivating land bearing Sy No.50 to the extent of 9 acres. I am cultivating land bearing Sy No.51 to the extent of 14 acres. I am cultivating land bearing Sy No.52 to the extent of 9 acres. It is false to suggest that, I and my brother are cultivating 03 acres of land each as per Ex P.9 to 21. It is false to suggest that, as per the Ex P.29 to 40 I and plaintiff No.2 each are cultivating 18 guntas of land. It is false to suggest that, the land bearing Sy No.50 I and plaintiff No.2 are cultivating 01 acre 18 guntas each as per Ex P.47 to 59. It is false to suggest that, in the land bearing Sy No.51 I and plaintiff No.2

each are cultivating 02 acres 30 guntas as per Ex P 65 to 70. It is false to suggest that, in the land bearing Sy No.52 I and plaintiff No.2 each are cultivating 01 acres 17 guntas as per Ex P 82 to 93. It is false to suggest that, in the same manner I and plaintiff No.2 have obtained the loan on the suit lands from the bank to the extent of land standing in our names.

It is true to suggest that, there are two Kothadoddi villages in Raichur taluka, one is Yeragera Kothadoddi where we reside and another Kothadoddi is Chandrabanda Kothadoddi. It is true to suggest that, my grandmother name is Nagamma and grandfather name is Hanumayya. It is false to suggest that, Nagamma and Hanumayya are from Chandrabanda Kothadoddi. My father died about 30 years back. It is false to suggest that, previously my father was residing at Chandrabanda Kothadoddi village. It is false to suggest that, my father has gone in adoption to Hanumayya of Chandrabanda village. It is false to suggest that, my father was under loss and lost all properties of adopted father at Chandrabanda Kothadoddi, hence he sifted to Yeragera Kothadoddi village. It is false to suggest that, since my father was lost all the properties at Chandrabanda Kothadoddi village, hence upon request made by my father to the defendants mutation of the some suit lands were given to us and mutated in our name. My name

Anjineyya S/o Sanna Hanumantha. It is false to suggest that, in land bearing Sy No.47 1 acre of land is given each to my self and to my brother by Krishtappa, Timmappa S/o Laxmamma, Timmappa S/o Hanumantha. It is false to suggest that, in the same manner 09 acres each and odd of land is given by the defendants in my favour and also in favour of my brother. It is false to suggest that, by giving application we have got mutated by virtue of mutation bearing No.23, dated. 30-01-1995. It is false to suggest that, since from 1995 we have been separately cultivating the portion of suit lands. It is false to suggest that, defendants are cultivating the different portion of suit lands as per the oral partition took place during the year 1995. It is false to suggest that, we have got entitled claim any share in the property of defendants. I do not know if it is suggested to me that, I have not given any application for cancellation of the mutation taken place during the year 1995 in my favour.

Further cross examination of PW 1: NIL.

(Typed by Typist in Open Court as per my dictation)

Prl. Sr.C.J. & CJM, Raichur