

Present: Shri A.C. Mutneja, Advocate counsel for revisionist.

Revision petition is self-entrusted. It be registered.

2. Certified copy of impugned order dated 17.09.2019 has not been enclosed, though a copy of said order downloaded from the site has been enclosed. At the oral request of learned counsel for the revisionist, filing of certified copy of impugned order is dispensed with at this stage.

3. Learned counsel for the revisionist prayed for staying of operation of the impugned order by submitting that if its operation is not stayed, the present revision may become infructuous.

I have gone through the impugned order, which recites that till date, respondent Parshant Munjal/revisionist has not paid even a single penny to applicant Uma Rani. So much so respondent/revisionist was not putting personal appearance before the learned C.J.M. and was thus avoiding payment of maintenance. However at the same time, to safeguard the interest of the revisionist, it is directed that the learned C.J.M. shall not pass any adverse order against him, provided the revisionist pays/deposits a substantial amount out of the maintenance granted to Uma Rani, may be 50% of the total accrued maintenance till the passing of impugned order dated 17.09.2019.

4. Let, notice of the revision petition be issued to the respondent for 15.10.2019. For effective service of the respondent, notice to her counsel Shri S.K. Kamboj Advocate be also issued for the above said date.

Sd/-

Dated :24.09.2019

(Bhola Shankar)

(Stenographer Gr.I)

Tarsem Mangla,
Sessions Judge,
Fazilka.(UID 0049)