

Duly sworn on
Date: 11-02-2026

Examination in Chief of PW 2: By Sri.MN counsel for the petitioner:-

I am the petitioner in LAC No.1/2020. I have filed my chief affidavit in support of the claim. The contents of affidavit are true to the best of my knowledge.

Hence, I pray to allow my petition.

1. Representation given before Tahasildar, Raichur is marked as Ex P 18.
2. Letter addressed by Revenue Inspector to Tahasildar, Raichur along with Panchanama is marked as Ex P 19.
3. Letter addressed by Tahasildar, Raichur to Assistant Commissioner, Raichur is marked as Ex P 20.
4. Letter addressed by Tahasildar Grade-II to Tahasildar, Raichur is marked as Ex P 21.

Cross examination of PW 2: By DGP for respondent No.1:

It is false to suggest that, our land are Kuski land. It is false to suggest that, since the petition lands comes towards the left bank canal of Tungabhadra , hence they are not fertile lands. In our land we grow two crops. We grow paddy, cotton and Chilies. It is false to suggest that, the award passed by Spl. Land Acquisition Officer is correct. It is false to suggest that, the Spl. Land Acquisition Officer after coming to the spot of the petition lands has fixed the value of the petition lands. It is false to suggest that, to praying more compensation I filed a

false petition, false affidavit and deposing falsely before this court.

Cross examination of PW 2: By BKH counsel for respondent No.2:

Counsel for respondent No.2 adopts the cross examination conducted by counsel for respondent No.1.

(Typed by Typist in Open Court as per my dictation)

Prl. Sr.C.J. & CJM, Raichur.