

Duly sworn on

Date: 03-06-2025

**Cross Examination of PW 1 : by Sri. BKH counsel for
the respondent No.2 :-**

It is true to suggest that, my land has been acquired for the formation of Munirabad – Maheboobnagar Railway track. I have given instructions to my counsel to draft my affidavit. It is true to suggest that, in the present case one Mahadevappa has filed application. It is true to suggest that, on behalf of Mahadevappa I am deposing before this court. It is true to suggest that, said Mahadevappa has authorised to me to mark the documents on his behalf also. Due to the ill-health of Mahadevappa he is not able to come up before this court to depose. We have produced the documents before this court showing that, said Mahadevappa is ill-health. It is false to suggest that, I have not at all furnished any documents before this court showing that, said Mahadevappa is ill-health. Said Mahadevappa has not studied. Said Mahadevappa is belonging to our village and he is neighborer.

My land bears Sy No. 221/P2A. 27 guntas of land has been acquired. It is false to suggest that, an compensation amount of Rs.29840/- has been granted per acre of the land which was the prevailing rate as on the date of notification. The land acquired is situated at Mamdapur village. It is false to suggest that, there are no irrigated lands situated at village Mamdapur. I do not know through which canal the water flows to our land. It is false to suggest that, only rainified crops are grown in the schedule land and no irrigated crops are grown. Mamdapur is situated at a distance of 6 Kms from Raichur city. It is false to suggest that, Mamdapur is situated at a distance of about 15 Kms from Raichur city. Through canal water is flown to our land but I do not know through which canal the water flows to our land. It is false to suggest

that, the Thungabhadra water flows only till Manvi Taluk. Hence land situated at Mamdapur village the crops are grown with the help of the rain. It is true to suggest that, Manvi is situated at a distance of about 45 Kms from Raichur city.

I have not given any notice to land acquisition officer. It is false to suggest that, the petition filed by me is beyond the period of limitation. As on today Cotton and Paddy crops are grown in the schedule land. The railway tracks has been not yet installed. It is true to suggest that, no any physically works are carried out by the respondents in my land. It is false to suggest that, ExP-22 is not related to the facts of the present case. It is false to suggest that, ExP-24 Map has been prepared at the time of construction of Munirabad Dam and thereafter many developments have taken place. I have produced the document before this court showing that, my land fetches value of about 15 lakhs. It is false to suggest that, ExP.27 is not related to the facts of the present case. It is false to suggest that, the officers have valued my land correctly and only in order to take more compensation I have filed a false petition and deposing falsely before this court.

Cross Examination of PW 1 : by DGP for the respondent

No.1 :-

It is false to suggest that, the Thungabhadra left canal is the last destination for the water to flow at Mamdapur village. Hence much water will not come of Thungabhadra canal to Mamdapur village lands. It is false to suggest that, annually only one crop is grown in the lands of Mamdapur village. It is false to suggest that, the award passed by the land acquisition officer is as per the prevailing market rate as on the date of award. It is false to suggest that, though there is no irrigation facility to my land even then I have created false documents and deposing falsely before this court.

Further cross examination of PW 1: NIL.

(Typed by Typist in Open Court as per my dictation)

Prl. Sr.C.J. & CJM, Raichur