

State Vs. Bikram Masih & Ors.

CHI-768-2020

Present : Sh.Aman Mohindru, Ld.APP for the State.
Accused Bikram Masih already convicted vide Judgment dated 06.08.2022 in Camp Court.
Proceedings against accused Gurjit Singh @ Happy abated.
Accused Ajay Masih on bail with Counsel Sh. H.S. Banthanwal, Advocate.

PW-3 Retd. ASI Manjit Singh has come present and examined completely. Perusal of the file shows that prosecution has availed many effective opportunities to conclude its evidence. As such, the prosecution evidence is hereby closed by Order. Statement of accused Ajay Masih under Section 351 BNSS (earlier 313 Cr.P.C.) recorded. Further, accused did not opt to lead defence evidence.

Arguments heard. Vide my separate judgment of even date, accused facing trial namely, Ajay Maish stands acquitted. The bail/surety bonds of accused stand also discharged. However, bail bonds and surety bonds of the accused are kept intact in view of compliance of Section 481 BNSS for another six months in order to ensure the presence of accused in the Appellate/Revisional Court in case the prosecution/complainant files appeal or revision against this order and it is clarified here that on the expiry of period of six months from the date of this judgment, the bonds shall stand automatically discharged. Case property, if any, be disposed of as per rules after the expiry period of appeal/revision, if any. File be consigned to the judicial record room, Gurdaspur after due compliance.

Pronounced in open Court:
Dated:11.09.2025

(Daljeet Kaur)

Directly Dictated on Computer

(Surekha Dadwal)
Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Gurdaspur(UID No.PB-0341)