

ORDER BELOW EXH.1 IN CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO.36/2020
[CNR NO.MHPA040001372020]Sharad Pawar Vs. State

(1) Heard Advocate V.D.Dayama for the applicant and APP N.S.Mutkule for the State. Perused the bail order dated 24.01.2020 passed by the Magistrate in RCC No.237/2013, refusing to release the applicant on bail on the ground that he was absent since more than 6 years and due to his absence matter was held up. The offences under Section 461 and 380 of Indian Penal Code.

(2) It appears that the matter before the Trial Court is at a stage of framing of charge. Therefore, trial would take much time for its logical conclusions. Advocate Dayama submitted that henceforth the accused would remain present regularly. The applicant is permanent resident of Hingoli. The offences under Section 461 and 380 of Indian Penal Code are not so serious to refuse the bail. Heavy surety can be asked from the accused. Accused is taken in custody on 24.01.2020 and behind the bar which must have prompted the accused not to remain absent in future. Therefore, it would be just and proper to release the applicant on bail as follows.

Order.

- 1- Bail application No.36/2020 is allowed.
- 2- Applicant-accused Sharad Sahebrao Pawar in R.C.C.No.237/2013 (State Vs. Eknath Bochre and others) which is pending before J.M.F.C. Hingoli for the offence under Section 380 and 461 read with Section 34 of Indian Penal Code be released on execution of P.R.Bond of Rs. 25,000/- with one or two sureties of like amount.
- 3- Applicant directed to remain present before the Magistrate henceforth without fail.
- 4- Bail before the Trial Court.

5- Inform accordingly to the Trial Court.

Date:05.02.2020.

(N.B.Shinde)
Additional Sessions Judge
Hingoli.