

WITNESS IS PRESENT AND DULY SWORN ON 11.01.2023.

FURTHER CROSS EXAMINATION BY SRI. I.S.D ADVOCATE FOR DEFENDANT:

I have verified in my office records regarding issuance of cheques. Sky Speed Courier and Cargo Services and Sky Courier have issued cheques. I have not presented the cheques for realization. It is false to suggest that the Ex.P.4 is fabricated by us. I have no difficulty to furnish the copy of reply notice issued to the defendant. It is false to suggest that I have not furnished the documents referred to in Ex.P.4, as Ex.P.4 is a fabricated document. It is false to suggest that Ex.P.5 to Ex.P.10 invoices are created documents. It is false to suggest that I have not furnished the documents referred to in Ex.P.5 to Ex.P.10, as Ex.P.5 to Ex.P.10 are fabricated document.

We have contact with DHL Courier and Cargo Services; Fedex regarding overseas operations. The defendant used to send parcels through DHL Courier and Cargo Services and Fedex. The plaintiff is an official agent of DHL Courier and Cargo Services and Fedex. It is not true to suggest that I have furnished any documents to show that the plaintiff is an official agent of DHL Courier and Cargo Services and Fedex, as the plaintiff is not at all the official agent of DHL Courier and Cargo Services and Fedex. I have no difficulty to examine the representatives

of DHL Courier and Cargo Services and Fedex. It is false to suggest that the defendant is never due in any amount much less the suit claim. It is false to suggest that in order to coerce the defendant to do business with the plaintiff the suit is filed. It is false to suggest that I am deposing falsely.

RE-EXAMINATION: NIL.

(Typed to my dictation in the open court)

ROI and AC

(S J KRISHNA)

LXXXIX ADDL. CC & SJ, BENGALURU.