

**IN THE COURT OF SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE, AT NANJANGUD**

**O.S.No.243/2025**

**Plaintiffs** : Siddashetty and others

**-vs-**

**Defendants** : Nagaraju and others

**I S S U E S**

- 1) Whether the plaintiffs prove that suit schedule properties are the ancestral and joint family properties ?
- 2) Whether the defendants No.1 to 5 prove that suit of the plaintiffs is bad for mis-joinder of necessary parties?
- 3) Whether the defendants No.1 to 5 further prove that 6<sup>th</sup> defendant is not a coparcener?
- 4) Whether the defendants No.1 to 5 further prove that suit schedule properties were already partitioned through registered partition deed dated 17.07.1972?
- 5) Whether the defendants No.1 to 5 further prove that the suit is barred by limitation?
- 6) Whether the defendants No.1 to 5 further prove that plaintiffs cannot claim share because the family properties were already partitioned as per compromise decree recorded in O.S.30/2021?
- 7) Whether the plaintiffs are entitled to get reliefs as sought in the plaint?
- 8) What order or decree?

Dated this the 8<sup>th</sup> day of April 2026

(KAMALAKSHA D.)  
Senior Civil Judge & J.M.F.C.,  
Nanjangud.