

IN THE COURT OF SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE, AT NANJANGUD

O.S.No. 123/2020

Plaintiff : Geethambike

-vs-

Defendants : Sarojammanni and others

I S S U E S

- 1 Whether the plaintiff proves that the suit schedule properties are the ancestral and joint family properties as alleged ?
- 2 Whether the plaintiff proves that the plaintiff and the defendants are in joint possession and enjoyment of the suit schedule properties?
- 3 Whether the suit is properly valued?
- 4 Whether the court fee paid is sufficient?
- 5 Whether the suit is barred by law of limitation?
- 6 Whether the suit is bad for non-joinder and mis-joinder of necessary parties?
- 7 Whether the suit is bad for partial partition?
- 8 Whether the defendant No.12 proves that he is the bonafide purchaser of item No.11 of the suit schedule properties?
- 9 Whether the suit is hit by amended Hindu Succession Act?
- 10 Whether the plaintiff is entitled for partition and separate possession of the suit schedule properties?
- 11 Whether the plaintiff is entitled for 1/2 share in the suit schedule properties?

12 Whether the plaintiff is entitled for the relief as sought for?

13 What order or decree?

Dated this the 8th day of July 2022.

(B.ANUPAMA LAKSHMI)
Senior Civil Judge, Nanjangud.